# A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of partial differential equations

Martin Vohralík

Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris 6)

Seminář numerické analýzy, 28.1.-1.2. 2008, Liberec

# Outline

5

# Introduction

Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- Oure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate
  - Convection-reaction-diffusion and nonconforming methods
    - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
    - Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
    - Estimates for finite volume methods
    - Complements
      - Conclusions and future work

# Outline

- Introduction
- Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- 3 Pure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

4 Convection–reaction–diffusion and nonconforming methods

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods
- Complements
  - Conclusions and future work

# What is an a posteriori error estimate

#### A posteriori error estimate

- Let *p* be a weak solution of a PDE.
- Let  $p_h$  be its approximate numerical solution.
- A priori error estimate: ||*p* − *p<sub>h</sub>*||<sub>Ω</sub> ≤ *f*(*p*)*h<sup>q</sup>*. Dependent on *p*, not computable. Useful in theory.
- A posteriori error estimate: ||*p* − *p<sub>h</sub>*||<sub>Ω</sub> ≤ *f*(*p<sub>h</sub>*). Only uses *p<sub>h</sub>*, computable. Great in practice.

**Usual form** 

- *f*(*p<sub>h</sub>*)<sup>2</sup> = ∑<sub>K∈T<sub>h</sub></sub> η<sub>K</sub>(*p<sub>h</sub>*)<sup>2</sup>, where η<sub>K</sub>(*p<sub>h</sub>*) is an element indicator.
- Can be used to determine mesh elements with large error.
- We can then refine these elements: mesh adaptivity.

# What is an a posteriori error estimate

## A posteriori error estimate

- Let *p* be a weak solution of a PDE.
- Let  $p_h$  be its approximate numerical solution.
- A priori error estimate: ||*p* − *p<sub>h</sub>*||<sub>Ω</sub> ≤ *f*(*p*)*h<sup>q</sup>*. Dependent on *p*, not computable. Useful in theory.
- A posteriori error estimate: ||*p* − *p<sub>h</sub>*||<sub>Ω</sub> ≤ *f*(*p<sub>h</sub>*). Only uses *p<sub>h</sub>*, computable. Great in practice.

# **Usual form**

- $f(p_h)^2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2$ , where  $\eta_K(p_h)$  is an element indicator.
- Can be used to determine mesh elements with large error.
- We can then refine these elements: mesh adaptivity.

# Guaranteed upper bound (global upper bound)

• 
$$\|\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(\boldsymbol{\rho}_h)^2$$

no undetermined constant

• remark (**reliability**):  $\|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2$ 

Global efficiency (global lower bound)

•  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K (p_h)^2 \le C_{\text{eff},\Omega}^2 \|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2$ Asymptotic exactness

•  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K (p_h)^2 / \|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \to 1$ Local efficiency (local lower bound)

•  $\eta_K(p_h)^2 \leq C_{\text{eff},K}^2 \sum_{L \text{ close to } K} \|p - p_h\|_L^2$ **Robustness** 

• *C*<sub>eff,K</sub> does not depend on data, mesh, or solution **Negligible evaluation cost** 

# Guaranteed upper bound (global upper bound)

- $\|\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{p}_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(\boldsymbol{p}_h)^2$
- no undetermined constant

• remark (**reliability**):  $\|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \le C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2$ 

Global efficiency (global lower bound)

- $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K (p_h)^2 \le C_{\text{eff},\Omega}^2 \|p p_h\|_{\Omega}^2$ Asymptotic exactness
- $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K (p_h)^2 / ||p p_h||_{\Omega}^2 \to 1$ Local efficiency (local lower bound)

•  $\eta_{K}(p_{h})^{2} \leq C_{\text{eff},K}^{2} \sum_{L \text{ close to } K} \|p - p_{h}\|_{L}^{2}$ **Robustness** 

• *C*<sub>eff,K</sub> does not depend on data, mesh, or solution **Negligible evaluation cost** 

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

# What an a posteriori error estimate should fulfill

Guaranteed upper bound (global upper bound)

• 
$$\|\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(\boldsymbol{p}_h)^2$$

no undetermined constant

• remark (reliability):  $\|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2$ 

Global efficiency (global lower bound)

•  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K (p_h)^2 \le C_{\text{eff},\Omega}^2 \|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2$ Asymptotic exactness

•  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{I}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2 / ||p - p_h||_{\Omega}^2 \to 1$ Local efficiency (local lower bound)

•  $\eta_K(p_h)^2 \leq C_{\text{eff},K}^2 \sum_{L \text{ close to } K} \|p - p_h\|_L^2$ **Robustness** 

• *C*<sub>eff,*K*</sub> does not depend on data, mesh, or solution **Negligible evaluation cost** 

Guaranteed upper bound (global upper bound)

• 
$$\|\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(\boldsymbol{\rho}_h)^2$$

no undetermined constant

• remark (reliability):  $\|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2$ 

Global efficiency (global lower bound)

• 
$$\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}\eta_K(p_h)^2\leq C_{\mathrm{eff},\Omega}^2\|p-p_h\|_\Omega^2$$

Asymptotic exactness

•  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{I}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2 / ||p - p_h||_{\Omega}^2 \to 1$ Local efficiency (local lower bound)

•  $\eta_K(p_h)^2 \leq C_{\text{eff},K}^2 \sum_{L \text{ close to } K} \|p - p_h\|_L^2$ **Robustness** 

• *C*<sub>eff,K</sub> does not depend on data, mesh, or solution **Negligible evaluation cost** 

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

# What an a posteriori error estimate should fulfill

Guaranteed upper bound (global upper bound)

• 
$$\|\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(\boldsymbol{\rho}_h)^2$$

no undetermined constant

• remark (reliability):  $\|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2$ 

Global efficiency (global lower bound)

• 
$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2 \leq C_{\mathrm{eff},\Omega}^2 \|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2$$

Asymptotic exactness

• 
$$\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}\eta_K(p_h)^2/\|p-p_h\|_\Omega^2
ightarrow 1$$

Local efficiency (local lower bound)

•  $\eta_K(p_h)^2 \leq C_{\text{eff},K}^2 \sum_{L \text{ close to } K} \|p - p_h\|_L^2$ 

• *C*<sub>eff,*K*</sub> does not depend on data, mesh, or solution **Negligible evaluation cost** 

Guaranteed upper bound (global upper bound)

• 
$$\|\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(\boldsymbol{p}_h)^2$$

no undetermined constant

• remark (reliability):  $\|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2$ 

Global efficiency (global lower bound)

• 
$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2 \leq C_{\mathrm{eff},\Omega}^2 \|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2$$

Asymptotic exactness

• 
$$\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}\eta_K(p_h)^2/\|p-p_h\|_\Omega^2 o 1$$

Local efficiency (local lower bound)

•  $\eta_{\mathcal{K}}(p_h)^2 \leq C_{\mathrm{eff},\mathcal{K}}^2 \sum_{L \operatorname{close to} \mathcal{K}} \|p - p_h\|_L^2$ 

•  $C_{\text{eff},\mathcal{K}}$  does not depend on data, mesh, or solution **Negligible evaluation cost** 

Guaranteed upper bound (global upper bound)

• 
$$\|\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(\boldsymbol{\rho}_h)^2$$

no undetermined constant

• remark (reliability):  $\|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2$ 

Global efficiency (global lower bound)

• 
$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{I}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2 \leq C_{\mathrm{eff},\Omega}^2 \|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2$$

Asymptotic exactness

• 
$$\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}\eta_K(p_h)^2/\|p-p_h\|_\Omega^2 o 1$$

Local efficiency (local lower bound)

• 
$$\eta_{\mathcal{K}}(\boldsymbol{p}_h)^2 \leq C_{\text{eff},\mathcal{K}}^2 \sum_{L \text{ close to } \mathcal{K}} \|\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h\|_L^2$$

#### Robustness

•  $C_{\text{eff},K}$  does not depend on data, mesh, or solution Negligible evaluation cost

Guaranteed upper bound (global upper bound)

• 
$$\|\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(\boldsymbol{\rho}_h)^2$$

no undetermined constant

• remark (reliability):  $\|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2 \leq C \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2$ 

Global efficiency (global lower bound)

• 
$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{I}_h} \eta_K(p_h)^2 \leq C_{\mathrm{eff},\Omega}^2 \|p - p_h\|_{\Omega}^2$$

Asymptotic exactness

• 
$$\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}\eta_K(p_h)^2/\|p-p_h\|_\Omega^2 o 1$$

Local efficiency (local lower bound)

• 
$$\eta_{\mathcal{K}}(\boldsymbol{p}_{h})^{2} \leq C_{\mathrm{eff},\mathcal{K}}^{2} \sum_{L \operatorname{close to} \mathcal{K}} \|\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_{h}\|_{L}^{2}$$

#### Robustness

•  $C_{\text{eff},K}$  does not depend on data, mesh, or solution Negligible evaluation cost

# **Continuous finite elements**

- Babuška and Rheinboldt (1978), introduction
- Zienkiewicz and Zhu (1987), averaging-based estimates
- Verfürth (1996), residual-based estimates
- Ainsworth and Oden (2000), equilibrated residual estimates
- Repin (2001), functional a posteriori error estimates
- Luce and Wohlmuth (2004), equilibrated fluxes estimates

**Discontinuous finite elements** 

- Karakashian and Pascal (2003), Becker, Hansbo, and Larson (2003), residual-based estimates
- Ainsworth (2007), Kim (2007), Lazarov, Repin, and Tomar (2007), Nicaise (2007), equilibrated fluxes estimates

# **Continuous finite elements**

- Babuška and Rheinboldt (1978), introduction
- Zienkiewicz and Zhu (1987), averaging-based estimates
- Verfürth (1996), residual-based estimates
- Ainsworth and Oden (2000), equilibrated residual estimates
- Repin (2001), functional a posteriori error estimates
- Luce and Wohlmuth (2004), equilibrated fluxes estimates

# **Discontinuous finite elements**

- Karakashian and Pascal (2003), Becker, Hansbo, and Larson (2003), residual-based estimates
- Ainsworth (2007), Kim (2007), Lazarov, Repin, and Tomar (2007), Nicaise (2007), equilibrated fluxes estimates

#### **Finite volumes**

- Ohlberger (2001), non-energy norm estimates
- Nicaise (2004), reconstruction-based estimates

Problems with discontinuous coefficients

- Bernardi and Verfürth (2000), conforming finite elements
- Ainsworth (2005), nonconforming finite elements

**Convection-diffusion problems** 

- Verfürth (1998, 2005), conforming finite elements
- Sangalli (2007), conforming finite elements

#### **Finite volumes**

- Ohlberger (2001), non-energy norm estimates
- Nicaise (2004), reconstruction-based estimates

#### Problems with discontinuous coefficients

- Bernardi and Verfürth (2000), conforming finite elements
- Ainsworth (2005), nonconforming finite elements

**Convection–diffusion problems** 

- Verfürth (1998, 2005), conforming finite elements
- Sangalli (2007), conforming finite elements

#### **Finite volumes**

- Ohlberger (2001), non-energy norm estimates
- Nicaise (2004), reconstruction-based estimates

#### Problems with discontinuous coefficients

- Bernardi and Verfürth (2000), conforming finite elements
- Ainsworth (2005), nonconforming finite elements

#### **Convection–diffusion problems**

- Verfürth (1998, 2005), conforming finite elements
- Sangalli (2007), conforming finite elements

# Outline

Introd

# Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- Pure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

4 Convection–reaction–diffusion and nonconforming methods

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods
- Complements
  - Conclusions and future work

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

Optimal framework and a first estimate Optimal estimate

# A 1D model problem -p'' = f in $\Omega$ , p = 0 on $\partial \Omega$

# Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $p, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) := (p', \varphi')$ .

#### Definition (Energy norm)

The associated energy norm for  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is given by  $|||\varphi|||^2 := \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \varphi) = ||\varphi'||^2.$ 

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) \qquad orall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$ 

Definition (Finite element approximation)

Finite element approximation:  $p_h \in V_h$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(p_h, \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h.$ 

# A 1D model problem -p'' = f in $\Omega$ , p = 0 on $\partial \Omega$

## Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form 
$$\mathcal{B}$$
 for  $p, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by  
 $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) := (p', \varphi')$ .

# Definition (Energy norm)

The associated energy norm for  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is given by  $|||\varphi|||^2 := \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \varphi) = ||\varphi'||^2.$ 

# A 1D model problem -p'' = f in $\Omega$ , p = 0 on $\partial \Omega$

# Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form 
$$\mathcal{B}$$
 for  $p, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by  
 $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) := (p', \varphi')$ .

# Definition (Energy norm)

The associated energy norm for  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is given by  $|||\varphi|||^2 := \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \varphi) = ||\varphi'||^2.$ 

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p}, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) \qquad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$ 

# A 1D model problem -p'' = f in $\Omega$ , p = 0 on $\partial \Omega$

# Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form 
$$\mathcal{B}$$
 for  $p, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by  
 $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) := (p', \varphi')$ .

# Definition (Energy norm)

The associated energy norm for  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is given by  $|||\varphi|||^2 := \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \varphi) = ||\varphi'||^2.$ 

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p},\varphi) = (f,\varphi) \qquad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$ 

Definition (Finite element approximation)

Finite element approximation:  $p_h \in V_h$  such that

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p}_h, \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \qquad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h.$$

# Outline

#### Introductior

Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- Pure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

4 Convection–reaction–diffusion and nonconforming methods

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods
- Complements
  - Conclusions and future work

Optimal abstract framework for -p'' = f

Theorem (Optimal abstract framework, 1D Laplacian)

Let  $p, p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\|\|\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}_h\|\| \leq \sup_{\varphi\in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega), \, \|\|\varphi\|\|=1} \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}_h, \varphi) \leq \|\|\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}_h\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1}$$

Proof.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |||p - p_h||| &= \mathcal{B}\left(p - p_h, \frac{p - p_h}{|||p - p_h|||}\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi||| = 1} \mathcal{B}(p - p_h, \varphi) \\ &\leq |||p - p_h||| \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi||| = 1} |||\varphi||| \end{aligned}$$

Optimal abstract framework for -p'' = f

Theorem (Optimal abstract framework, 1D Laplacian)

Let  $p, p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\|||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h||| \leq \sup_{arphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, \||arphi\||=1} \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h, arphi) \leq \|||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h|||$$

#### Proof.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| &= \mathcal{B}\left(\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h, \frac{\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h}{|||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h|||}\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi||| = 1} \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h, \varphi) \\ &\leq |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi||| = 1} |||\varphi|||. \end{aligned}$$

# Optimal abstract estimate for -p'' = f

#### Theorem (Optimal abstract estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{split} \||\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|\| &\leq \inf_{t \in H^1(\Omega)_{\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega), \||\varphi\|\| = 1}} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in H^1_0(\Omega), \||\varphi\|\| = 1} \{(f - t', \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{\rho}'_h + t, \varphi')\} \\ &\leq \||\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|\|. \end{split}$$

#### Proof.

Upper bound: put  $\varphi := p - p_h / |||p - p_h|||$  and take  $t \in H^1(\Omega)$  arbitrary. Then

$$\mathcal{B}(p - p_h, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) - (p'_h, \varphi') //\mathcal{B} \text{ lin., weak sol. def.}$$
  
=  $(f, \varphi) - (p'_h + t, \varphi') + (t, \varphi') // \pm (t, \varphi')$   
=  $(f - t', \varphi) - (p'_h + t, \varphi') . //\text{int. by parts}$   
ower bound: put  $t = -p'$  and use the Schwarz inequality.

# Optimal abstract estimate for -p'' = f

Theorem (Optimal abstract estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{split} \||\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|\| &\leq \inf_{t \in H^1(\Omega)_{\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega), \||\varphi\|\| = 1}} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in H^1_0(\Omega), \|\varphi\|\| = 1} \{(f - t', \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{\rho}'_h + t, \varphi')\} \\ &\leq \||\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|\|. \end{split}$$

#### Proof.

Lo

Upper bound: put  $\varphi := p - p_h / |||p - p_h|||$  and take  $t \in H^1(\Omega)$  arbitrary. Then

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{p}'_h, \varphi') //\mathcal{B} \text{ lin., weak sol. def.} \\ = (f, \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t, \varphi') + (t, \varphi') // \pm (t, \varphi') \\ = (f - t', \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t, \varphi'). //\text{int. by parts} \\ \text{ower bound: put } t = -\boldsymbol{p}' \text{ and use the Schwarz inequality.}$$

# Optimal abstract estimate for -p'' = f

Theorem (Optimal abstract estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{split} \||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h\|\| &\leq \inf_{t \in H^1(\Omega)_{\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega), \||\varphi\|\| = 1}} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in H^1_0(\Omega), \||\varphi\|\| = 1} \{(\boldsymbol{f} - \boldsymbol{t}', \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + \boldsymbol{t}, \varphi')\} \\ &\leq \||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h\|\|. \end{split}$$

# **Properties**

- Guaranteed upper bound (no undetermined constant).
- Exact and robust.
- Not computable (infimum over an infinite-dimensional space).

# A first computable estimate for -p'' = f

#### Theorem (A first computable estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Then

$$||| p - p_h ||| \le \frac{h_\Omega}{\pi} || f - t'_h || + || p'_h + t_h ||.$$

• recall 
$$|||p-p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f-t'_h, \varphi) - (p'_h + t_h, \varphi')\};$$

- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\| \leq \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} \|\varphi'\| = \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} ||\varphi||;$
- use this and the Schwarz inequality:  $(f - t'_h, \varphi) \leq ||f - t'_h|| ||\varphi|| \leq ||f - t'_h|| \frac{h_0}{\pi} ||\varphi||;$
- use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(p'_h + t_h, \varphi') \le \|p'_h + t_h\| \|\varphi'\| = \|p'_h + t_h\| \|\varphi\|.$

# A first computable estimate for -p'' = f

#### Theorem (A first computable estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Then

$$|||p-p_h||| \leq \frac{h_\Omega}{\pi} ||f-t_h'|| + ||p_h'+t_h||.$$

- recall  $|||\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f t'_h, \varphi) (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t_h, \varphi')\};$
- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\| \leq \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} \|\varphi'\| = \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} ||\varphi||;$
- use this and the Schwarz inequality:  $(f - t'_h, \varphi) \leq ||f - t'_h|| ||\varphi|| \leq ||f - t'_h|| \frac{h_\Omega}{\pi} |||\varphi|||;$
- use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(p'_h + t_h, \varphi') \le ||p'_h + t_h|| ||\varphi'|| = ||p'_h + t_h|| ||\varphi|||.$

# A first computable estimate for -p'' = f

#### Theorem (A first computable estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Then

$$|||p-p_h||| \leq \frac{h_\Omega}{\pi} ||f-t_h'|| + ||p_h'+t_h||.$$

- recall  $|||\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f t'_h, \varphi) (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t_h, \varphi')\};$
- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\| \leq \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} \|\varphi'\| = \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} |||\varphi|||;$
- use this and the Schwarz inequality:  $(f - t'_h, \varphi) \le ||f - t'_h|| ||\varphi|| \le ||f - t'_h|| \frac{h_0}{\pi} |||\varphi||;$
- use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(p'_h + t_h, \varphi') \le ||p'_h + t_h|| ||\varphi'|| = ||p'_h + t_h|| ||\varphi|||.$

# A first computable estimate for -p'' = f

#### Theorem (A first computable estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Then

$$|||p-p_h||| \leq \frac{h_\Omega}{\pi} ||f-t_h'|| + ||p_h'+t_h||.$$

• recall 
$$|||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - t'_h, \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t_h, \varphi')\};$$

- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\| \leq \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} \|\varphi'\| = \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} |||\varphi|||;$
- use this and the Schwarz inequality:  $(f - t'_h, \varphi) \le ||f - t'_h|| ||\varphi|| \le ||f - t'_h|| \frac{h_\Omega}{\pi} |||\varphi|||;$
- use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(p'_h + t_h, \varphi') \le \|p'_h + t_h\| \|\varphi'\| = \|p'_h + t_h\| \|\varphi\|.$

# A first computable estimate for -p'' = f

#### Theorem (A first computable estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Then

$$||| p - p_h ||| \le \frac{h_\Omega}{\pi} || f - t'_h || + || p'_h + t_h ||.$$

- recall  $|||\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f t'_h, \varphi) (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t_h, \varphi')\};$
- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\| \leq \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} \|\varphi'\| = \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} ||\varphi||;$
- use this and the Schwarz inequality:  $(f - t'_h, \varphi) \le ||f - t'_h|| ||\varphi|| \le ||f - t'_h|| \frac{h_{\Omega}}{\pi} |||\varphi|||;$
- use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(p'_h + t_h, \varphi') \le ||p'_h + t_h|| ||\varphi'|| = ||p'_h + t_h|| ||\varphi|||.$

# A first computable estimate for -p'' = f

#### Theorem (A first computable estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Then

$$||| \boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h ||| \le \frac{h_\Omega}{\pi} || f - t'_h || + || \boldsymbol{p}'_h + t_h ||.$$

#### **Properties**

- Guaranteed upper bound  $(\frac{1}{\pi}$ , Friedrichs constant).
- $\|p'_h + t_h\|$  penalizes  $-p'_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$ .
- $||f t'_h||$  is a residual term, evaluated for  $t_h$ .
- Advantage: scheme-independent (works for all schemes) (promoted by Repin).
- Disadvantage: scheme-independent (no information from the computation used).

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

# Numerical experiment for the first computable estimate

# Model problem

$$-p'' = \pi^2 sin(\pi x) \text{ in } ]0,1[, p = 0 \text{ in } 0,1]$$

**Exact solution** 

 $p(x) = \sin(\pi x)$ 

Discretization

*N* given, h = 1/(N+1),  $x_k = kh$ , k = 0, ..., N+1 ( $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_{N+1} = 1$ ),  $x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = (k+\frac{1}{2})h$ , k = 0, ..., N,  $x_{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ ,  $x_{N+1+\frac{1}{2}} = 1$ **Choice of**  $t_h$ 

$$\begin{split} t_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) &= -p'_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \quad k = 0, \dots, N, \\ t_h(x_k) &= -(p'_h|_{]x_{k-1}, x_k[} + p'_h|_{]x_k, x_{k+1}[})/2 \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \\ t_h(x_0) &= -p'_h|_{]x_0, x_1[}, \\ t_h(x_{N+1}) &= -p'_h|_{]x_N, x_{N+1}[} \end{split}$$
## Numerical experiment for the first computable estimate

## Model problem

$$-p'' = \pi^2 sin(\pi x) \text{ in } ]0,1[, p = 0 \text{ in } 0,1]$$

## **Exact solution**

$$p(x) = sin(\pi x)$$

Discretization

*N* given, h = 1/(N+1),  $x_k = kh$ , k = 0, ..., N+1 ( $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_{N+1} = 1$ ),  $x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = (k+\frac{1}{2})h$ , k = 0, ..., N,  $x_{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ ,  $x_{N+1+\frac{1}{2}} = 1$ **Choice of**  $t_h$ 

$$\begin{split} t_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) &= -p'_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \quad k = 0, \dots, N, \\ t_h(x_k) &= -(p'_h|_{]x_{k-1}, x_k[} + p'_h|_{]x_k, x_{k+1}[})/2 \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \\ t_h(x_0) &= -p'_h|_{]x_0, x_1[}, \\ t_h(x_{N+1}) &= -p'_h|_{]x_N, x_{N+1}[} \end{split}$$

## Numerical experiment for the first computable estimate

## Model problem

$$-p'' = \pi^2 sin(\pi x) \text{ in } ]0,1[, p = 0 \text{ in } 0,1]$$

#### Exact solution

$$p(x) = sin(\pi x)$$

#### Discretization

*N* given, h = 1/(N + 1),  $x_k = kh$ , k = 0, ..., N + 1 ( $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_{N+1} = 1$ ),  $x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = (k + \frac{1}{2})h$ , k = 0, ..., N,  $x_{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ ,  $x_{N+1+\frac{1}{2}} = 1$ Choice of  $t_h$ 

$$\begin{split} t_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) &= -p'_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) \quad k = 0, \dots, N, \\ t_h(x_k) &= -(p'_h|_{]x_{k-1}, x_k[} + p'_h|_{]x_k, x_{k+1}[})/2 \quad k = 1, \dots, N, \\ t_h(x_0) &= -p'_h|_{]x_0, x_1[}, \\ t_h(x_{N+1}) &= -p'_h|_{]x_N, x_{N+1}[} \end{split}$$

## Numerical experiment for the first computable estimate

## Model problem

$$-p'' = \pi^2 sin(\pi x) \text{ in } ]0,1[, p = 0 \text{ in } 0,1]$$

#### Exact solution

$$p(x) = sin(\pi x)$$

#### Discretization

*N* given, h = 1/(N + 1),  $x_k = kh$ , k = 0, ..., N + 1 ( $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_{N+1} = 1$ ),  $x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = (k + \frac{1}{2})h$ , k = 0, ..., N,  $x_{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ ,  $x_{N+1+\frac{1}{2}} = 1$ Choice of  $t_h$ 

## Numerical experiment for the first computable estimate



## Numerical experiment for the first computable estimate



## Numerical experiment for the first computable estimate



## Outline

## Introduction

Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension

Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate

## • Optimal a posteriori error estimate

- Pure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

4 Convection–reaction–diffusion and nonconforming methods

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods
- Complements
  - Conclusions and future work

## Optimal estimate for -p'' = f

### Theorem (Optimal estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that  $(t'_h, 1)_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = (f, 1)_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}$  for all k = 1, ..., N. Then  $|||p - p_h||| \le \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{N+1} (\eta_{\mathrm{R},k} + \eta_{\mathrm{DF},k})^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

- diffusive flux estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{DF},k} := \| p'_h + t_h \|_{X_{k-\frac{1}{k}}, X_{k+\frac{1}{k}}}$
  - penalizes the fact that  $-\vec{p}'_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$
- residual estimator

• 
$$\eta_{\mathrm{R},k} := m_k \|f - t'_h\|_{X_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$$

• 
$$m_k := \frac{h}{\pi}$$
 for  $k = 1, ..., N$ 

• 
$$m_k := \frac{h}{2\sqrt{2}}$$
 for  $k = 0, N + 1$ 

• residue evaluated for  $t_h$ 

## Optimal estimate for -p'' = f

### Theorem (Optimal estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that  $(t'_h, 1)_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = (f, 1)_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}$  for all k = 1, ..., N. Then  $|||p - p_h||| \le \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{N+1} (\eta_{\mathrm{R},k} + \eta_{\mathrm{DF},k})^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

## diffusive flux estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{DF},k} := \| p'_h + t_h \|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$
- penalizes the fact that  $-\vec{p}'_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$
- residual estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathbf{R},k} := m_k \|f t'_h\|_{\mathbf{X}_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$

• 
$$m_k := \frac{h}{\pi}$$
 for  $k = 1, ..., N$ 

• 
$$m_k := \frac{\pi h}{2\sqrt{2}}$$
 for  $k = 0, N + 1$ 

• residue evaluated for  $t_h$ 

## Optimal estimate for -p'' = f

## Theorem (Optimal estimate, 1D Laplacian)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that  $(t'_h, 1)_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = (f, 1)_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}$  for all k = 1, ..., N. Then  $|||p - p_h||| \le \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{N+1} (\eta_{R,k} + \eta_{DF,k})^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

## diffusive flux estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{DF},k} := \| p'_h + t_h \|_{X_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, X_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$
- penalizes the fact that  $-\vec{p}'_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$
- residual estimator

• 
$$\eta_{\mathrm{R},k} := m_k \|f - t'_h\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$$

• 
$$m_k := \frac{h}{\pi} \text{ for } k = 1, ..., N$$

• 
$$m_k := \frac{\pi h}{2\sqrt{2}}$$
 for  $k = 0, N + 1$ 

residue evaluated for t<sub>h</sub>

## Proof.

• recall  $|||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \leq \sup \{(f - t'_h, \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t_h, \varphi')\};$  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1$ • recall the Poincaré inequality: • use this, the conservativity property of  $t_h$ , and the Schwarz • for k = 0 and k = N + 1, use instead the Friedrichs • use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:

• recall 
$$|||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - t'_h, \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t_h, \varphi')\};$$

- recall the Poincaré inequality:  $\|\varphi - \varphi_k\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \leq \frac{h}{\pi} \|\varphi'\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = \frac{h}{\pi} \|\|\varphi\|\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[},$ where  $\varphi_k$  is the mean value of  $\varphi$  over  $]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[;$
- use this, the conservativity property of  $t_h$ , and the Schwarz inequality when k = 1, ..., N:

$$(f - t'_{h}, \varphi)_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = (f - t'_{h}, \varphi - \varphi_{k})_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}$$

$$\leq \|I - I_{h}\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[\frac{\pi}{\pi}]} \|\varphi\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[;$$

- for k = 0 and k = N + 1, use instead the Friedrichs inequality  $\|\varphi\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \le \frac{h}{2\sqrt{2}} \|\varphi'\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[};$
- use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(p'_{h}+t_{h}, \varphi')_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \leq \|p'_{h}+t_{h}\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}\|\|\varphi\|\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}$

• recall 
$$|||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - t'_h, \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t_h, \varphi')\};$$

- recall the Poincaré inequality:  $\|\varphi - \varphi_k\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \leq \frac{h}{\pi} \|\varphi'\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = \frac{h}{\pi} \||\varphi\||_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[},$ where  $\varphi_k$  is the mean value of  $\varphi$  over  $]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[$ ;
- use this, the conservativity property of  $t_h$ , and the Schwarz inequality when k = 1, ..., N:

- $\geq \|I l_{h}\|]_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[\pi]} \|\varphi\|\|_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[\pi]},$
- for k = 0 and k = N + 1, use instead the Friedrichs inequality  $\|\varphi\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \le \frac{h}{2\sqrt{2}} \|\varphi'\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[};$
- use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(p'_h + t_h, \varphi')_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \leq \|p'_h + t_h\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}||\varphi||_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_k}$

• recall 
$$|||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - t'_h, \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t_h, \varphi')\};$$

- recall the Poincaré inequality:  $\|\varphi - \varphi_k\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \leq \frac{h}{\pi} \|\varphi'\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = \frac{h}{\pi} \||\varphi\||_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[},$ where  $\varphi_k$  is the mean value of  $\varphi$  over  $]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[$ ;
- use this, the conservativity property of  $t_h$ , and the Schwarz inequality when k = 1, ..., N:

$$(f - t'_{h}, \varphi)_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = (f - t'_{h}, \varphi - \varphi_{k})_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \\ \leq ||f - t'_{h}||_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[\frac{h}{\pi}|||\varphi|||_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[};$$

- for k = 0 and k = N + 1, use instead the Friedrichs inequality  $\|\varphi\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \le \frac{h}{2\sqrt{2}} \|\varphi'\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[};$
- use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(p'_{h}+t_{h},\varphi')_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}},x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \leq \|p'_{h}+t_{h}\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}},x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}\||\varphi\||_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}},x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}.$

• recall 
$$|||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - t'_h, \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{p}'_h + t_h, \varphi')\};$$

- recall the Poincaré inequality:  $\|\varphi - \varphi_k\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \leq \frac{h}{\pi} \|\varphi'\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = \frac{h}{\pi} \||\varphi\||_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[},$ where  $\varphi_k$  is the mean value of  $\varphi$  over  $]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[$ ;
- use this, the conservativity property of  $t_h$ , and the Schwarz inequality when k = 1, ..., N:

$$\begin{aligned} &(f - t'_h, \varphi)_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = (f - t'_h, \varphi - \varphi_k)_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \\ &\leq \|f - t'_h\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[\frac{h}{\pi}|||\varphi|||_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}; \end{aligned}$$

- for k = 0 and k = N + 1, use instead the Friedrichs inequality  $\|\varphi\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \le \frac{h}{2\sqrt{2}} \|\varphi'\|_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[};$
- use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(p'_{h}+t_{h}, \varphi')_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} \leq ||p'_{h}+t_{h}||_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}|||\varphi|||_{]x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}.$

## Theorem (Construction of $t_h$ )

Let f be piecewise constant and let  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$  be given by  $t_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) = -p'_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}})$  k = 0, ..., N,  $t_h(x_k) = -(p'_h|_{|x_{k-1},x_k[} + p'_h|_{|x_k,x_{k+1}[})/2$  k = 1, ..., N,  $t_h(x_0) = -p'_h|_{|x_0,x_1[},$   $t_h(x_{N+1}) = -p'_h|_{|x_N,x_{N+1}[}.$ Then  $(t'_h, 1)_{|x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = (f, 1)_{|x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}, k = 1, ..., N.$ 

- the finite element method:  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} p'_h \psi'_k dx = \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} f \psi_k dx$ , k = 1, ..., N, where  $\psi_k$  is the "pyramidal" basis function;
- *f* piecewise constant:  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} f\psi_k \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} f \, \mathrm{d}x;$
- construction of  $t_h$ :  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} p'_h \psi'_k \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} t'_h \, \mathrm{d}x.$

## Theorem (Construction of $t_h$ )

Let f be piecewise constant and let  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$  be given by  $t_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) = -p'_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}})$  k = 0, ..., N,  $t_h(x_k) = -(p'_h|_{|x_{k-1},x_k[} + p'_h|_{|x_k,x_{k+1}[})/2$  k = 1, ..., N,  $t_h(x_0) = -p'_h|_{|x_0,x_1[},$   $t_h(x_{N+1}) = -p'_h|_{|x_N,x_{N+1}[}.$ Then  $(t'_h, 1)_{|x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = (f, 1)_{|x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}, k = 1, ..., N.$ 

- the finite element method:  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} p'_h \psi'_k dx = \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} f \psi_k dx$ , k = 1, ..., N, where  $\psi_k$  is the "pyramidal" basis function;
- *f* piecewise constant:  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} f\psi_k \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} f \, \mathrm{d}x;$
- construction of  $t_h$ :  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} p'_h \psi'_k \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} t'_h \, \mathrm{d}x.$

## Theorem (Construction of $t_h$ )

Let f be piecewise constant and let  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$  be given by  $t_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) = -p'_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}})$  k = 0, ..., N,  $t_h(x_k) = -(p'_h|_{|x_{k-1},x_k[} + p'_h|_{|x_k,x_{k+1}[})/2$  k = 1, ..., N,  $t_h(x_0) = -p'_h|_{|x_0,x_1[},$   $t_h(x_{N+1}) = -p'_h|_{|x_N,x_{N+1}[}.$ Then  $(t'_h, 1)_{|x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = (f, 1)_{|x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}, k = 1, ..., N.$ 

- the finite element method:  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} p'_h \psi'_k dx = \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} f \psi_k dx$ , k = 1, ..., N, where  $\psi_k$  is the "pyramidal" basis function;
- *f* piecewise constant:  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} f\psi_k \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} f \, \mathrm{d}x;$
- construction of  $t_h$ :  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} p'_h \psi'_k \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} t'_h \, \mathrm{d}x.$

## Theorem (Construction of t<sub>h</sub>)

Let f be piecewise constant and let  $t_h \in H^1(\Omega)$  be given by  $t_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}) = -p'_h(x_{k+\frac{1}{2}})$  k = 0, ..., N,  $t_h(x_k) = -(p'_h|_{|x_{k-1},x_k[} + p'_h|_{|x_k,x_{k+1}[})/2$  k = 1, ..., N,  $t_h(x_0) = -p'_h|_{|x_0,x_1[},$   $t_h(x_{N+1}) = -p'_h|_{|x_N,x_{N+1}[}.$ Then  $(t'_h, 1)_{|x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[} = (f, 1)_{|x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}[}, k = 1, ..., N.$ 

- the finite element method:  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} p'_h \psi'_k dx = \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} f \psi_k dx$ , k = 1, ..., N, where  $\psi_k$  is the "pyramidal" basis function;
- *f* piecewise constant:  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} f\psi_k \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} f \, \mathrm{d}x;$
- construction of  $t_h$ :  $\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k+1}} p'_h \psi'_k \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{x_{k-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{k+\frac{1}{2}}} t'_h \, \mathrm{d}x.$

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate Optimal estimate

## Numerical experiment for the optimal estimate



## Numerical experiment for the optimal estimate



## Outline

- - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- Pure diffusion and conforming methods 3
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes.
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate
  - - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
    - Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
    - Estimates for finite volume methods

## A model problem with discontinuous coefficients

#### Model problem with discontinuous coefficients

$$-
abla \cdot (a 
abla p) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
 p = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

Assumptions

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2, 3, is a polygonal domain
- a is a piecewise constant scalar, inhomogeneous

## A model problem with discontinuous coefficients

#### Model problem with discontinuous coefficients

$$-
abla \cdot (\pmb{a} 
abla \pmb{p}) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
 \pmb{p} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

#### Assumptions

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2, 3, is a polygonal domain
- a is a piecewise constant scalar, inhomogeneous

## Bilinear form, energy norm, and a weak solution

### Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $p, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) := (a \nabla p, \nabla \varphi).$ 

#### Definition (Energy norm)

The associated energy norm for  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is given by  $|||\varphi|||^2 := \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \varphi) = ||a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \varphi||^2.$ 

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) \qquad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$ 

## Bilinear form, energy norm, and a weak solution

### Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $p, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) := (a \nabla p, \nabla \varphi).$ 

#### Definition (Energy norm)

The associated energy norm for  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is given by  $\||\varphi|\|^2 := \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \varphi) = \|a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \varphi\|^2.$ 

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) \qquad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$ 

## Bilinear form, energy norm, and a weak solution

## Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $p, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) := (a \nabla p, \nabla \varphi).$ 

#### Definition (Energy norm)

The associated energy norm for  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is given by  $\||\varphi|\|^2 := \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \varphi) = \|a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \varphi\|^2.$ 

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) \qquad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$  1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

## Outline

- - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- Pure diffusion and conforming methods 3
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods

#### Corollary (Classical residual a posteriori error estimate in FEs)

Let 
$$a = 1$$
. Then there holds (cf. Verfürth 96)  
 $|||p - p_h||| \leq C_1 \left\{ \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^2 \|f + \triangle p_h\|_K^2 \right\}^{1/2} + C_2 \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_\sigma \|[\nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}]\|_\sigma^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$ 

**Drawbacks** 

- What are  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ?
- If C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> evaluated: overestimation by a factor of 30 (uniform refinement) and 60 (adaptive refinement).
- $\triangle p_h = 0$ :  $h_K ||f||_K$  as estimator gives no good sense.
- Not robust for inhomogeneities when *a* is discontinuous.

#### Corollary (Classical residual a posteriori error estimate in FEs)

# Let a = 1. Then there holds (cf. Verfürth 96) $|||p - p_h||| \leq C_1 \left\{ \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^2 \|f + \triangle p_h\|_K^2 \right\}^{1/2} + C_2 \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_\sigma \|[\nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}]\|_\sigma^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$

#### Drawbacks

- What are  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ?
- If C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> evaluated: overestimation by a factor of 30 (uniform refinement) and 60 (adaptive refinement).
- $\triangle p_h = 0$ :  $h_K ||f||_K$  as estimator gives no good sense.
- Not robust for inhomogeneities when *a* is discontinuous.

#### Corollary (Classical residual a posteriori error estimate in FEs)

$$\begin{array}{ll} a = 1. \ \text{Then there holds (cf. Verfürth 96)} \\ |||p - p_h||| & \leq & C_1 \left\{ \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^2 \|f + \triangle p_h\|_K^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ & + C_2 \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_\sigma \|[\nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}]\|_\sigma^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \end{array}$$

#### Drawbacks

Let

- What are  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ?
- If C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> evaluated: overestimation by a factor of 30 (uniform refinement) and 60 (adaptive refinement).
- $\triangle p_h = 0$ :  $h_K ||f||_K$  as estimator gives no good sense.
- Not robust for inhomogeneities when a is discontinuous.

#### Corollary (Classical residual a posteriori error estimate in FEs)

Let 
$$a = 1$$
. Then there holds (cf. Verfürth 96)  
 $|||p - p_h||| \leq C_1 \left\{ \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^2 \|f + \triangle p_h\|_K^2 \right\}^{1/2} + C_2 \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_\sigma \|[\nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}]\|_\sigma^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$ 

#### Drawbacks

- What are  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ?
- If C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> evaluated: overestimation by a factor of 30 (uniform refinement) and 60 (adaptive refinement).
- $\triangle p_h = 0$ :  $h_K ||f||_K$  as estimator gives no good sense.
- Not robust for inhomogeneities when *a* is discontinuous.

### Corollary (Classical residual a posteriori error estimate in FEs)

Let 
$$a = 1$$
. Then there holds (cf. Verfürth 96)  
 $|||p - p_h||| \leq C_1 \left\{ \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K^2 \|f + \triangle p_h\|_K^2 \right\}^{1/2} + C_2 \left\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_\sigma \|[\nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}]\|_\sigma^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$ 

#### Drawbacks

- What are  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ?
- If C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> evaluated: overestimation by a factor of 30 (uniform refinement) and 60 (adaptive refinement).
- $\triangle p_h = 0$ :  $h_K ||f||_K$  as estimator gives no good sense.
- Not robust for inhomogeneities when *a* is discontinuous.

## FEs residual constants $C_1$ and $C_2$

#### Constants C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>, Carstensen and Funken 00

$$C_{V} := \begin{cases} C_{P,T_{V}}^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{T_{V}} & V \in \mathcal{V}_{h}^{\text{int}}, \\ C_{F,T_{V},\partial\Omega}^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{T_{V}} & V \in \mathcal{V}_{h}^{\text{ext}}, \end{cases}$$

$$C_{1} := \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \left\{ \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}_{K}} c_{V}^{2} / \min_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{V}} h_{K}^{2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$C_{2}^{2} := 3C_{1} \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} \{h_{K} / h_{\sigma} h_{K}^{2} / |K|\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} 3^{\frac{3}{2}} C_{1}^{2} \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} \{h_{K} / h_{\sigma} h_{K}^{2} / |K|(3 + h_{K}^{2} / |K|)\}.$$

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

# Zienkiewicz–Zhu averaging a posteriori error estimation for $-\triangle p = f$

Corollary (Zienkiewicz–Zhu averaging a posteriori error estimate in FEs)

There holds (cf. Zienkiewicz–Zhu 87)

 $|||\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}_h||| \lesssim \|\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|,$ 

where  $\mathbf{t}_h$  is an averaged smooth flux.

Drawbacks

- No error upper bound (neither guaranteed, nor reliable).
- Not robust for inhomogeneities when a is discontinuous.

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

# Zienkiewicz–Zhu averaging a posteriori error estimation for $-\triangle p = f$

Corollary (Zienkiewicz–Zhu averaging a posteriori error estimate in FEs)

There holds (cf. Zienkiewicz–Zhu 87)

 $|||\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}_h||| \lesssim \|\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|,$ 

where  $\mathbf{t}_h$  is an averaged smooth flux.

## Drawbacks

- No error upper bound (neither guaranteed, nor reliable).
- Not robust for inhomogeneities when a is discontinuous.
# Zienkiewicz–Zhu averaging a posteriori error estimation for $-\triangle p = f$

Corollary (Zienkiewicz–Zhu averaging a posteriori error estimate in FEs)

There holds (cf. Zienkiewicz–Zhu 87)

 $|||\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}_h||| \lesssim \|\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|,$ 

where  $\mathbf{t}_h$  is an averaged smooth flux.

#### Drawbacks

- No error upper bound (neither guaranteed, nor reliable).
- Not robust for inhomogeneities when *a* is discontinuous.

# Outline

- - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- Pure diffusion and conforming methods 3
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate

  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods

Optimal abstract framework for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

Theorem (Optimal abstract framework, conf. & pure dif. case)

Let  $p, p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\|||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{p}_h|\| \leq \sup_{arphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega), \, \||arphi\||=1} \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{p}_h,arphi) \leq \||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{p}_h\|\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^1}$$

Proof.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |||p - p_h||| &= \mathcal{B}\left(p - p_h, \frac{p - p_h}{|||p - p_h|||}\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi||| = 1} \mathcal{B}(p - p_h, \varphi) \\ &\leq |||p - p_h||| \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi||| = 1} |||\varphi||| \end{aligned}$$

Optimal abstract framework for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

Theorem (Optimal abstract framework, conf. & pure dif. case)

Let  $p, p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\|||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h||| \leq \sup_{arphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, \||arphi\||=1} \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h,arphi) \leq \|||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h|||.$$

#### Proof.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| &= \mathcal{B}\left(\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h, \frac{\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h}{|||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h|||}\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi||| = 1} \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h, \varphi) \\ &\leq |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \, |||\varphi||| = 1} |||\varphi|||. \end{aligned}$$

Optimal abstract estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (a \nabla p) = f$ 

Theorem (Optimal abstract estimate, conf. & pure dif. case)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_{h}||| &\leq \inf_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1}} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1}} \{(\boldsymbol{f} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}, \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{a} \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_{h} + \mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi) \} \\ &\leq |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_{h}|||. \end{aligned}$$

#### Proof.

Upper bound: put  $\varphi := p - p_h / ||p - p_h||$  and take  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$  arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(p-p_h,\varphi) &= (f,\varphi) - (a\nabla p_h,\nabla \varphi) //\mathcal{B} \text{ lin., weak sol. def.} \\ &= (f,\varphi) - (a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t},\nabla \varphi) + (\mathbf{t},\nabla \varphi) // \pm (\mathbf{t},\nabla \varphi) \\ &= (f-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t},\varphi) - (a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t},\nabla \varphi). //\text{Green th.} \\ \text{Lower bound: put } \mathbf{t} &= -a\nabla p \text{ and use the Schwarz inequality.} \end{split}$$

Optimal abstract estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (a \nabla p) = f$ 

Theorem (Optimal abstract estimate, conf. & pure dif. case)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_{h}||| &\leq \inf_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1}} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)_{\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1}} \{(\boldsymbol{f} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}, \varphi) - (\boldsymbol{a} \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_{h} + \mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi) \} \\ &\leq |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_{h}|||. \end{aligned}$$

#### Proof.

Upper bound: put  $\varphi := p - p_h / |||p - p_h|||$  and take  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$  arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h, \varphi) &= (f, \varphi) - (a \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h, \nabla \varphi) //\mathcal{B} \text{ lin., weak sol. def.} \\ &= (f, \varphi) - (a \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi) + (\mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi) // \pm (\mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi) \\ &= (f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}, \varphi) - (a \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi). //\text{Green th.} \\ \text{Lower bound: put } \mathbf{t} = -a \nabla \boldsymbol{p} \text{ and use the Schwarz inequality.} \end{split}$$

Optimal abstract estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

Theorem (Optimal abstract estimate, conf. & pure dif. case)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{split} |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h||| &\leq \inf_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1}} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1}} \{(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}, \varphi) - (a \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi)\} \\ &\leq |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h|||. \end{split}$$

# **Properties**

- Guaranteed upper bound (no undetermined constant).
- Exact and robust.
- Not computable (infimum over an infinite-dimensional space).

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

#### Theorem (A first computable estimate, conf. & pure dif. case)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ . Then

$$|||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h||| \leq \frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2}h_\Omega}{c_{\boldsymbol{a},\Omega}^{1/2}}\|\boldsymbol{f}-\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{t}_h\|+\|\boldsymbol{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\boldsymbol{\rho}_h+\boldsymbol{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{t}_h\|.$$

#### Proof.

•  $|||p-p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) - (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$ • Friedrichs inequality:  $||\varphi|| \leq C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_{\Omega} ||\nabla \varphi|| \leq \frac{C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_{\Omega}}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} |||\varphi|||;$ • use this and the Schwarz inequality:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) \leq ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h|| ||\varphi|| \leq ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h||\frac{C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_{\Omega}}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} |||\varphi|||;$ • use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi) \leq ||a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h||||\varphi|||.$ 

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

Theorem (A first computable estimate, conf. & pure dif. case)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ . Then

$$|||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h||| \leq \frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2}h_\Omega}{c_{\boldsymbol{a},\Omega}^{1/2}}\|\boldsymbol{f}-\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{t}_h\|+\|\boldsymbol{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\boldsymbol{\rho}_h+\boldsymbol{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{t}_h\|.$$

# Proof.

•  $|||p - p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) - (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$ • Friedrichs inequality:  $||\varphi|| \leq C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2} h_\Omega ||\nabla \varphi|| \leq \frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2} h_\Omega}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} |||\varphi|||;$ • use this and the Schwarz inequality:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) \leq ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h|||\varphi|| \leq ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h||\frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2} h_\Omega}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} |||\varphi|||;$ • use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi) \leq ||a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h||||\varphi|||.$ 

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

Theorem (A first computable estimate, conf. & pure dif. case)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ . Then

$$|||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h||| \leq \frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2}h_\Omega}{c_{\boldsymbol{a},\Omega}^{1/2}}\|\boldsymbol{f}-\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{t}_h\|+\|\boldsymbol{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\boldsymbol{\rho}_h+\boldsymbol{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{t}_h\|.$$

### Proof.

•  $||| p - p_h ||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1} \{ (f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) - (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi) \};$ • Friedrichs inequality:  $||\varphi|| \leq C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_\Omega ||\nabla \varphi|| \leq \frac{C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_\Omega}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} |||\varphi|||;$ • use this and the Schwarz inequality:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) \leq ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h|| ||\varphi|| \leq ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h| \frac{C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_\Omega}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} |||\varphi|||;$ • use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi) \leq ||a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h|| |||\varphi|||.$ 

#### Theorem (A first computable estimate, conf. & pure dif. case)

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ . Then

$$|||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h||| \leq \frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2}h_\Omega}{c_{\boldsymbol{a},\Omega}^{1/2}}\|\boldsymbol{f}-\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{t}_h\|+\|\boldsymbol{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\boldsymbol{\rho}_h+\boldsymbol{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{t}_h\|.$$

### Proof.

•  $|||p-p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) - (a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$ • Friedrichs inequality:  $||\varphi|| \leq C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_{\Omega} ||\nabla \varphi|| \leq \frac{C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_{\Omega}}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} |||\varphi|||;$ • use this and the Schwarz inequality:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) \leq ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h|| ||\varphi|| \leq ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h| \frac{C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_{\Omega}}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} |||\varphi|||;$ • use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi) \leq ||a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h||||\varphi|||.$ 

Theorem (A first computable estimate, conf. & pure dif. case)

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ . Then

$$|||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_h||| \leq \frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2}h_\Omega}{c_{\boldsymbol{a},\Omega}^{1/2}}\|\boldsymbol{f}-\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{t}_h\|+\|\boldsymbol{a}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\boldsymbol{\rho}_h+\boldsymbol{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{t}_h\|.$$

# Proof.

•  $|||p-p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) - (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$ • Friedrichs inequality:  $||\varphi|| \leq C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_{\Omega} ||\nabla \varphi|| \leq \frac{C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_{\Omega}}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} |||\varphi|||;$ • use this and the Schwarz inequality:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) \leq ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h|| ||\varphi|| \leq ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h| \frac{C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_{\Omega}}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} |||\varphi|||;$ • use the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi) \leq ||a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h|| |||\varphi|||.$ 

A first computable estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

Theorem (A first computable estimate, conf. & pure dif. case)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ . Then

$$|||\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h||| \leq \frac{C_{F,\Omega}^{1/2} h_{\Omega}}{c_{a,\Omega}^{1/2}} ||\boldsymbol{f} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h|| + ||\boldsymbol{a}_{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}_h + \boldsymbol{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h||.$$

#### **Properties**

- Guaranteed upper bound ( $C_{F,\Omega} \leq 1$ , Friedrichs constant).
- $\|a^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_h\|$  penalizes  $-a\nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ .
- $||f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h||$  is a residual term, evaluated for  $\mathbf{t}_h$ .
- Advantage: scheme-independent (works for all schemes) (promoted by Repin).
- Disadvantage: scheme-independent (no information from the computation used).

# Outline

- - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- Pure diffusion and conforming methods 3
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods

# Optimal a posteriori error estimate for $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$

#### Theorem (Optimal a posteriori error estimate)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Let  $\mathcal{D}_h = \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}} \cup \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$  be a partition of  $\Omega$  and take  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$  such that  $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, 1)_D = (f, 1)_D$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ . Then  $|||p - p_h||| \leq \left\{ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_h} (\eta_{\text{R},D} + \eta_{\text{DF},D})^2 \right\}^{1/2}$ .

• diffusive flux estimator

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

•  $\eta_{\mathrm{DF},D} := \|\boldsymbol{a}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \boldsymbol{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h \|_D$ 

• penalizes the fact that  $-a\nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ 

- residual estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{D}} := m_{\mathrm{D},a} \| f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h \|_{\mathrm{D}}$

•  $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{P,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ ,  $C_{P,D} = 1/\pi^2$  if D convex

- $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{F,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{ext}$ ,  $C_{F,D} = 1$  in general
- c<sub>a,D</sub> is the smallest value of a on D
- residue evaluated for t<sub>h</sub>

# Optimal a posteriori error estimate for $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$

#### Theorem (Optimal a posteriori error estimate)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Let  $\mathcal{D}_h = \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}} \cup \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$  be a partition of  $\Omega$  and take  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$  such that  $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, 1)_D = (f, 1)_D$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ . Then  $|||p - p_h||| \leq \left\{ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_h} (\eta_{\text{R},D} + \eta_{\text{DF},D})^2 \right\}^{1/2}$ .

# diffusive flux estimator

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

•  $\eta_{\text{DF},D} := \|a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|_D$ 

• penalizes the fact that  $-a\nabla p_h \notin H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ 

- residual estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{D}} := m_{\mathrm{D},a} \| f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h \|_{\mathrm{D}}$

•  $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{P,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ ,  $C_{P,D} = 1/\pi^2$  if D convex

- $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{F,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{ext}$ ,  $C_{F,D} = 1$  in general
- c<sub>a,D</sub> is the smallest value of a on D
- residue evaluated for t<sub>h</sub>

# Optimal a posteriori error estimate for $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$

#### Theorem (Optimal a posteriori error estimate)

Let *p* be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Let  $\mathcal{D}_h = \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}} \cup \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$  be a partition of  $\Omega$  and take  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$  such that  $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, 1)_D = (f, 1)_D$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ . Then  $|||p - p_h||| \leq \left\{ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_h} (\eta_{\text{R},D} + \eta_{\text{DF},D})^2 \right\}^{1/2}$ .

diffusive flux estimator

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

•  $\eta_{\mathrm{DF},D} := \|\boldsymbol{a}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \boldsymbol{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|_D$ 

• penalizes the fact that  $-a\nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ 

- residual estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{R},D} := m_{D,a} \| f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h \|_D$
  - $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{P,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ ,  $C_{P,D} = 1/\pi^2$  if D convex
  - $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{F,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{ext}$ ,  $C_{F,D} = 1$  in general
  - c<sub>a,D</sub> is the smallest value of a on D
  - residue evaluated for t<sub>h</sub>

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

# Proof.

• recall  $|||p - p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) - (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$ 

- recall the Poincaré inequality:  $\|\varphi \varphi_D\|_D^2 \leq C_{P,D} h_D^2 \|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi_D$  is the mean value of  $\varphi$  over D;
- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\|_D^2 \leq C_{\mathrm{F},D,\partial\Omega}h_D^2\|\nabla\varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ ;
- recall that  $\|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2 \leq \frac{1}{c_{a,D}} \||\varphi|\|_D^2$ ;
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ : cons. of  $\mathbf{t}_h$ , Schwarz ineq., and Poincaré ineq.:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D = (f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi - \varphi_D)_D \le m_{D,a} \|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \||\varphi|\|_D;$
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$ : Schwarz and Friedrichs inequalities:
  - $(f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D \leq m_{D,a} \|f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \|\varphi\|_D;$
- the Schwarz inequality for the second term:
  - $-(a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)_D \leq \|a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \||\varphi\||_D.$

1 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

- recall  $|||p p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$
- recall the Poincaré inequality:  $\|\varphi \varphi_D\|_D^2 \leq C_{P,D} h_D^2 \|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi_D$  is the mean value of  $\varphi$  over D;
- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\|_D^2 \leq C_{\mathrm{F},D,\partial\Omega}h_D^2\|\nabla\varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ ;
- recall that  $\|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2 \leq \frac{1}{c_{a,D}} \||\varphi|\|_D^2$ ;
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ : cons. of  $\mathbf{t}_h$ , Schwarz ineq., and Poincaré ineq.:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D = (f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi - \varphi_D)_D \le m_{D,a} \|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \|\varphi\|_D;$
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$ : Schwarz and Friedrichs inequalities:
  - $(f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D \leq m_{D,a} \|f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \|\varphi\|_D;$
- the Schwarz inequality for the second term:
  - $-(a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)_D \leq \|a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \||\varphi\||_D.$

1 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

- recall  $|||p p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$
- recall the Poincaré inequality:  $\|\varphi \varphi_D\|_D^2 \leq C_{P,D} h_D^2 \|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi_D$  is the mean value of  $\varphi$  over D;
- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\|_D^2 \leq C_{F,D,\partial\Omega} h_D^2 \|\nabla\varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ ;
- recall that  $\|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2 \leq \frac{1}{c_{a,D}} \||\varphi|\|_D^2$ ;
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ : cons. of  $\mathbf{t}_h$ , Schwarz ineq., and Poincaré ineq.:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D = (f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi - \varphi_D)_D \le m_{D,a} \|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \|\varphi\|_D;$
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$ : Schwarz and Friedrichs inequalities:
  - $(f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D \leq m_{D,a} \|f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \|\varphi\|_D;$
- the Schwarz inequality for the second term:
  - $-(a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)_D \leq \|a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \||\varphi\||_D.$

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

• recall 
$$|||p - p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) - (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$$

- recall the Poincaré inequality:  $\|\varphi \varphi_D\|_D^2 \leq C_{P,D} h_D^2 \|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi_D$  is the mean value of  $\varphi$  over D;
- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\|_D^2 \leq C_{F,D,\partial\Omega} h_D^2 \|\nabla\varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ ;
- recall that  $\|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2 \leq \frac{1}{c_{a,D}} \||\varphi|\|_D^2$ ;
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ : cons. of  $\mathbf{t}_h$ , Schwarz ineq., and Poincaré ineq.:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D = (f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi - \varphi_D)_D \le m_{D,a} \|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \|\varphi\|_D;$
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$ : Schwarz and Friedrichs inequalities:
  - $(f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D \leq m_{D,a} \|f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \|\varphi\|_D;$
- the Schwarz inequality for the second term:
  - $-(a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)_D \leq \|a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \||\varphi\||_D.$

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

• recall 
$$|||p - p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) - (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$$

- recall the Poincaré inequality: ||φ φ<sub>D</sub>||<sup>2</sup><sub>D</sub> ≤ C<sub>P,D</sub>h<sup>2</sup><sub>D</sub>||∇φ||<sup>2</sup><sub>D</sub>, where φ<sub>D</sub> is the mean value of φ over D;
- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\|_D^2 \leq C_{F,D,\partial\Omega} h_D^2 \|\nabla\varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ ;
- recall that  $\|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2 \leq \frac{1}{c_{a,D}} \||\varphi|\|_D^2$ ;
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ : cons. of  $\mathbf{t}_h$ , Schwarz ineq., and Poincaré ineq.:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D = (f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi - \varphi_D)_D \le m_{D,a} \|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \||\varphi|\|_D;$
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$ : Schwarz and Friedrichs inequalities:
  - $(f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D \leq m_{D,a} \|f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \|\varphi\|_D;$
- the Schwarz inequality for the second term:
  - $-(a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)_D \leq \|a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \||\varphi\||_D.$

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

• recall 
$$|||p - p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) - (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$$

- recall the Poincaré inequality: ||φ φ<sub>D</sub>||<sup>2</sup><sub>D</sub> ≤ C<sub>P,D</sub>h<sup>2</sup><sub>D</sub>||∇φ||<sup>2</sup><sub>D</sub>, where φ<sub>D</sub> is the mean value of φ over D;
- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\|_D^2 \leq C_{F,D,\partial\Omega} h_D^2 \|\nabla\varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ ;
- recall that  $\|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2 \leq \frac{1}{c_{a,D}} \||\varphi|\|_D^2$ ;
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ : cons. of  $\mathbf{t}_h$ , Schwarz ineq., and Poincaré ineq.:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D = (f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi - \varphi_D)_D \le m_{D,a} \|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \||\varphi|\|_D;$
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$ : Schwarz and Friedrichs inequalities:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D \leq m_{D,a} ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h||_D |||\varphi|||_D;$
- the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)_D \leq ||a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h||_D |||\varphi|||_D.$

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

• recall 
$$|||p - p_h||| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi|||=1} \{(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi) - (a \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)\};$$

- recall the Poincaré inequality: ||φ φ<sub>D</sub>||<sup>2</sup><sub>D</sub> ≤ C<sub>P,D</sub>h<sup>2</sup><sub>D</sub>||∇φ||<sup>2</sup><sub>D</sub>, where φ<sub>D</sub> is the mean value of φ over D;
- recall the Friedrichs inequality:  $\|\varphi\|_D^2 \leq C_{F,D,\partial\Omega} h_D^2 \|\nabla\varphi\|_D^2$ , where  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ ;
- recall that  $\|\nabla \varphi\|_D^2 \leq \frac{1}{c_{a,D}} |||\varphi|||_D^2$ ;
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ : cons. of  $\mathbf{t}_h$ , Schwarz ineq., and Poincaré ineq.:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D = (f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi - \varphi_D)_D \le m_{D,a} \|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \|\varphi\|_D;$
- $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$ : Schwarz and Friedrichs inequalities:  $(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \varphi)_D \le m_{D,a} ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h||_D |||\varphi|||_D;$
- the Schwarz inequality for the second term:  $-(a\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h, \nabla \varphi)_D \le ||a^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_h||_D |||\varphi|||_D.$

# Outline

- - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- Pure diffusion and conforming methods 3
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate

  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes.
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods

Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

# Finite element and cell-centered finite volume methods



# $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$ $p = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$

#### Finite elements

- $(a\nabla p_h, \nabla \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h$
- $-\nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \Rightarrow$  not locally conservative
- $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow$  conforming
- Galerkin orthogonality
- arithmetic averaging of a

# Cell-centered finite volumes

- $-\sum_{E \in \mathcal{N}(D)} \{a\}_{\omega} \frac{|\sigma_{D,E}|}{d_{D,E}} (p_E p_D) = (f,1)_D$  $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\text{int}}$ 
  - Iocally conservative
  - $p_h \notin H_0^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow$  nonconforming
  - Ilux formulation
  - harmonic averaging of a

Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

# Finite element and cell-centered finite volume methods



# $\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{a} \nabla \boldsymbol{p}) &= \boldsymbol{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \boldsymbol{p} &= \boldsymbol{0} \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{aligned}$

# Finite elements

$$(a \nabla p_h, \nabla \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \ \forall \varphi_h \in V_h$$

- −∇p<sub>h</sub> ∉ H(div, Ω) ⇒ not locally conservative
- $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow$  conforming
- Galerkin orthogonality
- arithmetic averaging of a

# Cell-centered finite volumes

- $-\sum_{E \in \mathcal{N}(D)} \{a\}_{\omega} \frac{|\sigma_{D,E}|}{d_{D,E}} (p_E p_D) = (f, 1)_D$  $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}_{b}^{\text{int}}$ 
  - Iocally conservative
  - $p_h \notin H_0^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow$  nonconforming
  - Ilux formulation
  - harmonic averaging of a

Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

# Finite element and cell-centered finite volume methods



$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (a \nabla p) &= f & \text{in } \Omega \\ p &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{aligned}$$

# Finite elements

$$(a \nabla p_h, \nabla \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h$$

- −∇p<sub>h</sub> ∉ H(div, Ω) ⇒ not locally conservative
- $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow$  conforming
- Galerkin orthogonality
- arithmetic averaging of a

# Cell-centered finite volumes

$$-\sum_{E \in \mathcal{N}(D)} \{a\}_{\omega} \frac{|\sigma_{D,E}|}{d_{D,E}} (p_E - p_D) = (f, 1)_D \\ \forall D \in \mathcal{D}_b^{\text{int}}$$

- locally conservative
- $p_h \notin H_0^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow$  nonconforming
- flux formulation
- harmonic averaging of a

#### Theorem (Equivalence between FEs and FVs, EGH 00)

Let d = 2, let a = 1, let  $T_h$  be Delaunay and let  $D_h$  be its Voronoï dual (given by the orthogonal bisectors of the edges from  $T_h$ ). Let next f be piecewise constant on  $T_h$ . Then FEs and FVs produce the same discrete systems.

- interpretation of the results
- local conservativity of FEs on  $\mathcal{D}_h$
- general *f*: equivalence up to numerical quadrature

#### Theorem (Equivalence between FEs and FVs, EGH 00)

Let d = 2, let a = 1, let  $T_h$  be Delaunay and let  $D_h$  be its Voronoï dual (given by the orthogonal bisectors of the edges from  $T_h$ ). Let next f be piecewise constant on  $T_h$ . Then FEs and FVs produce the same discrete systems.

- interpretation of the results
- local conservativity of FEs on  $\mathcal{D}_h$
- general f: equivalence up to numerical quadrature

#### Theorem (Equivalence between FEs and FVs, EGH 00)

Let d = 2, let a = 1, let  $T_h$  be Delaunay and let  $D_h$  be its Voronoï dual (given by the orthogonal bisectors of the edges from  $T_h$ ). Let next f be piecewise constant on  $T_h$ . Then FEs and FVs produce the same discrete systems.

- interpretation of the results
- local conservativity of FEs on  $\mathcal{D}_h$
- general f: equivalence up to numerical quadrature

#### Theorem (Equivalence between FEs and FVs, EGH 00)

Let d = 2, let a = 1, let  $T_h$  be Delaunay and let  $D_h$  be its Voronoï dual (given by the orthogonal bisectors of the edges from  $T_h$ ). Let next f be piecewise constant on  $T_h$ . Then FEs and FVs produce the same discrete systems.

- interpretation of the results
- local conservativity of FEs on  $\mathcal{D}_h$
- general *f*: equivalence up to numerical quadrature

Finite elements for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

#### Finite element method

• Find  $p_h \in V_h$  such that  $(a \nabla p_h, \nabla \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h.$ 

•  $p_h \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ :



# Choice of $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$

# Recall the equivalence with finite volumes



• using the FV fluxes on  $\mathcal{D}_h$ , construct  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{RTN}(\mathcal{S}_h)$ ;  $\langle \mathbf{t}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1} \rangle_{\partial D} = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, \mathbf{1})_D = (f, \mathbf{1})_D \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}.$ 

M. Vohralík

Cell-centered finite volumes for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

# Cell-centered finite volume method

Find 
$$\{p_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}}$$
 such that  

$$-\{a\}_{\omega} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{N}(D)} \frac{|\sigma_{D,E}|}{d_{D,E}} (p_E - p_D) = (f, 1)_D \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}.$$

- $\{a\}_{\omega}$ : harmonic averaging of the diffusion tensor.
- We immediately have  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{RTN}(\mathcal{S}_h)$  which verifies  $\langle \mathbf{t}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\partial D} = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, 1)_D = (f, 1)_D \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}.$



Interpretation of  $\{p_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}}$  as  $p_h \in V_h$ 

# Interpretation of $\{p_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}}$ as $p_h \in V_h$


## Outline

- - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- Pure diffusion and conforming methods 3
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate

  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate
  - - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
    - Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
    - Estimates for finite volume methods

## Optimal a posteriori error estimate for $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$

#### Theorem (Optimal a posteriori error estimate)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Let  $\mathcal{D}_h = \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}} \cup \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$  be a partition of  $\Omega$  and take  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$  such that  $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, 1)_D = (f, 1)_D$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ . Then  $|||p - p_h||| \leq \left\{\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_h} (\eta_{\text{R},D} + \eta_{\text{DF},D})^2\right\}^{1/2}$ .

• diffusive flux estimator

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

•  $\eta_{\mathrm{DF},D} := \|\boldsymbol{a}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \boldsymbol{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h \|_D$ 

• penalizes the fact that  $-a\nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ 

- residual estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathbf{R},D} := m_{D,a} \| f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h \|_D$

•  $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{P,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ ,  $C_{P,D} = 1/\pi^2$  if D convex

- $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{F,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{ext}$ ,  $C_{F,D} = 1$  in general
- c<sub>a,D</sub> is the smallest value of a on D
- residue evaluated for t<sub>h</sub>

Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

## Optimal a posteriori error estimate for $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$

#### Theorem (Optimal a posteriori error estimate)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Let  $\mathcal{D}_h = \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}} \cup \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$  be a partition of  $\Omega$  and take  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$  such that  $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, 1)_D = (f, 1)_D$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ . Then  $\||p - p_h|\| \leq \left\{\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_h} (\eta_{\text{R},D} + \eta_{\text{DF},D})^2\right\}^{1/2}$ .

## diffusive flux estimator

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

•  $\eta_{\text{DF},D} := \|a^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|_D$ 

• penalizes the fact that  $-a\nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ 

residual estimator

•  $\eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{D}} := m_{\mathrm{D},a} \| f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h \|_{\mathrm{D}}$ 

•  $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{P,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ ,  $C_{P,D} = 1/\pi^2$  if D convex

- $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{F,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{ext}$ ,  $C_{F,D} = 1$  in general
- $c_{a,D}$  is the smallest value of a on D
- residue evaluated for t<sub>h</sub>

Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

## Optimal a posteriori error estimate for $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$

#### Theorem (Optimal a posteriori error estimate)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be arbitrary. Let  $\mathcal{D}_h = \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}} \cup \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{ext}}$  be a partition of  $\Omega$  and take  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$  such that  $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, 1)_D = (f, 1)_D$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ . Then  $\||p - p_h|\| \leq \left\{ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_h} (\eta_{\text{R},D} + \eta_{\text{DF},D})^2 \right\}^{1/2}$ .

diffusive flux estimator

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

•  $\eta_{\mathrm{DF},D} := \|\boldsymbol{a}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \boldsymbol{a}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|_D$ 

• penalizes the fact that  $-a\nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ 

- residual estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{R},D} := m_{D,a} \| f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h \|_D$
  - $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{P,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{\text{int}}$ ,  $C_{P,D} = 1/\pi^2$  if D convex
  - $m_{D,a}^2 := C_{F,D} h_D^2 / c_{a,D}$  for  $D \in \mathcal{D}_h^{ext}$ ,  $C_{F,D} = 1$  in general
  - c<sub>a,D</sub> is the smallest value of a on D
  - residue evaluated for t<sub>h</sub>

Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

Local efficiency of the estimates for  $-\nabla \cdot (a \nabla p) = f$ 

#### Theorem (Local efficiency)

Let  $\mathbf{t}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma} = -\{a \nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}\}_{\omega}$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_h$ . Then

 $\eta_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{D}} + \eta_{\mathbf{DF},\mathbf{D}} \leq \mathbf{C} |||\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_{h}|||_{\mathcal{T}_{V_{\mathbf{D}}}},$ 

where *C* depends only on the space dimension *d*, on the shape regularity parameter  $\kappa_T$ , and on the polynomial degree *m* of *f*.

#### Proof (diffusive flux estimator, case a = 1).

- for each v<sub>h</sub> ∈ RTN(K), ||v<sub>h</sub>||<sup>2</sup><sub>K</sub> ≤ Ch<sub>K</sub> ∑<sub>σ∈ε<sub>K</sub></sub> ||v<sub>h</sub> ⋅ n||<sup>2</sup><sub>σ</sub> (equivalence of norms on finite-dimensional spaces)
- put  $\mathbf{v}_h = \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h$ ; then  $\|\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|_K^2 = \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_K^2$  $\leq Ch_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{E}_h^{\text{int}}} \|[\![\nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_\sigma]\!]\|_{\sigma}^2 \Rightarrow \eta_{\text{DF},D}$  is a lower bound for the classical mass balance estimator

side bubble functions technique of Verfürth:
 h<sup>1/2</sup>/<sub>K</sub> || [[∇p<sub>h</sub> · n<sub>σ</sub>]] ||<sub>σ</sub> ≤ C ∑<sub>M∈{K,L}</sub> |||p − p<sub>h</sub>|||<sub>M</sub> for σ ∈ E<sub>K</sub> ∩ E<sup>int</sup><sub>h</sub>

Local efficiency of the estimates for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

#### Theorem (Local efficiency)

Let  $\mathbf{t}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma} = -\{a \nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}\}_{\omega}$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_h$ . Then

 $\eta_{\mathbf{R},\boldsymbol{D}} + \eta_{\mathbf{DF},\boldsymbol{D}} \leq \boldsymbol{C} |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{h}}|||_{\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{D}}}},$ 

where *C* depends only on the space dimension *d*, on the shape regularity parameter  $\kappa_T$ , and on the polynomial degree *m* of *f*.

#### Proof (diffusive flux estimator, case a = 1).

- for each  $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{RTN}(K)$ ,  $\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_K^2 \leq Ch_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \|\mathbf{v}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}\|_{\sigma}^2$ (equivalence of norms on finite-dimensional spaces)
- put  $\mathbf{v}_h = \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h$ ; then  $\|\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|_K^2 = \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_K^2$  $\leq Ch_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{E}_h^{\text{int}}} \|[\![\nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_\sigma]\!]\|_{\sigma}^2 \Rightarrow \eta_{\text{DF},D}$  is a lower bound for the classical mass balance estimator
- side bubble functions technique of Verfürth:
   h<sup>1/2</sup>/<sub>K</sub> || [[∇p<sub>h</sub> · n<sub>σ</sub>]] ||<sub>σ</sub> ≤ C ∑<sub>M∈{K,L}</sub> |||p − p<sub>h</sub>|||<sub>M</sub> for σ ∈ E<sub>K</sub> ∩ E<sup>int</sup><sub>h</sub>

Local efficiency of the estimates for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

#### Theorem (Local efficiency)

Let  $\mathbf{t}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma} = -\{a \nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}\}_{\omega}$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_h$ . Then

 $\eta_{\mathbf{R},\boldsymbol{D}} + \eta_{\mathbf{DF},\boldsymbol{D}} \leq \boldsymbol{C} |||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{h}}|||_{\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{D}}}},$ 

where *C* depends only on the space dimension *d*, on the shape regularity parameter  $\kappa_T$ , and on the polynomial degree *m* of *f*.

#### Proof (diffusive flux estimator, case a = 1).

- for each  $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{RTN}(K)$ ,  $\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_K^2 \leq Ch_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \|\mathbf{v}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}\|_{\sigma}^2$ (equivalence of norms on finite-dimensional spaces)
- put  $\mathbf{v}_h = \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h$ ; then  $\|\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|_K^2 = \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_K^2$  $\leq Ch_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{E}_h^{\text{int}}} \|[\![\nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_\sigma]\!]\|_{\sigma}^2 \Rightarrow \eta_{\text{DF},D}$  is a lower bound for the classical mass balance estimator

• side bubble functions technique of Verfürth:  $h_{K}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \llbracket \nabla p_{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma} \rrbracket \|_{\sigma} \leq C \sum_{M \in \{K, L\}} \| |p - p_{h}| \|_{M}$  for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K} \cap \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\text{int}}$ 

Local efficiency of the estimates for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

#### Theorem (Local efficiency)

Let  $\mathbf{t}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma} = -\{a \nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}\}_{\omega}$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_h$ . Then

 $\eta_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{D}} + \eta_{\mathbf{DF},\mathbf{D}} \leq \mathbf{C} |||\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_{h}|||_{\mathcal{T}_{V_{\mathbf{D}}}},$ 

where *C* depends only on the space dimension *d*, on the shape regularity parameter  $\kappa_T$ , and on the polynomial degree *m* of *f*.

#### Proof (diffusive flux estimator, case a = 1).

- for each  $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{RTN}(K)$ ,  $\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_K^2 \leq Ch_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \|\mathbf{v}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}\|_{\sigma}^2$ (equivalence of norms on finite-dimensional spaces)
- put  $\mathbf{v}_h = \nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h$ ; then  $\|\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|_K^2 = \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_K^2$  $\leq Ch_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{E}_h^{\text{int}}} \|[\![\nabla p_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_\sigma]\!]\|_{\sigma}^2 \Rightarrow \eta_{\text{DF},D}$  is a lower bound for the classical mass balance estimator
- side bubble functions technique of Verfürth:  $h_{K}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \llbracket \nabla p_{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma} \rrbracket \|_{\sigma} \leq C \sum_{M \in \{K,L\}} \| |p - p_{h}| \|_{M}$  for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K} \cap \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\text{int}}$

Local efficiency of the estimates for  $-\nabla \cdot (a \nabla p) = f$ 

### Proof (residual estimator, case a = 1).

• element bubble functions technique of Verfürth:  $\|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq Ch_{\mathcal{K}}^{-1} \|\nabla p + \mathbf{t}_h\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ 

- $\|\nabla \boldsymbol{p} + \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \le \||\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{p}_h\|\|_D + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|_D$
- complete the proof by the previous result

- the discontinuities have to be aligned with the dual mesh
- harmonic averaging has to be used in the scheme
- harmonic averaging has to be used in the construction of t<sub>h</sub>: t<sub>h</sub> ⋅ n<sub>σ</sub> = -{∇p<sub>h</sub> ⋅ n<sub>σ</sub>}<sub>ω</sub>

1 10 & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency Local efficiency of the estimates for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

### Proof (residual estimator, case a = 1).

• element bubble functions technique of Verfürth:  $\|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_{\mathcal{K}} \le Ch_{\mathcal{K}}^{-1} \|\nabla p + \mathbf{t}_h\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ 

•  $\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\rho} + \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \le \||\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|\|_D + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|_D$ 

complete the proof by the previous result

- the discontinuities have to be aligned with the dual mesh
- harmonic averaging has to be used in the scheme
- harmonic averaging has to be used in the construction of t<sub>h</sub>: t<sub>h</sub> ⋅ n<sub>σ</sub> = -{∇p<sub>h</sub> ⋅ n<sub>σ</sub>}<sub>ω</sub>

1 10 & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency Local efficiency of the estimates for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

## Proof (residual estimator, case a = 1).

• element bubble functions technique of Verfürth:  $\|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_{\mathcal{K}} \le Ch_{\mathcal{K}}^{-1} \|\nabla \rho + \mathbf{t}_h\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ 

• 
$$\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\rho} + \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \le \||\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|\|_D + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|_D$$

complete the proof by the previous result

- the discontinuities have to be aligned with the dual mesh
- harmonic averaging has to be used in the scheme
- harmonic averaging has to be used in the construction of t<sub>h</sub>: t<sub>h</sub> ⋅ n<sub>σ</sub> = -{∇p<sub>h</sub> ⋅ n<sub>σ</sub>}<sub>ω</sub>

1 10 & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency Local efficiency of the estimates for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

## Proof (residual estimator, case a = 1).

• element bubble functions technique of Verfürth:  $\|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq Ch_{\mathcal{K}}^{-1} \|\nabla p + \mathbf{t}_h\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ 

• 
$$\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\rho} + \mathbf{t}_h\|_D \le \||\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h\|\|_D + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|_D$$

complete the proof by the previous result

- the discontinuities have to be aligned with the dual mesh
- harmonic averaging has to be used in the scheme
- harmonic averaging has to be used in the construction of t<sub>h</sub>: t<sub>h</sub> ⋅ n<sub>σ</sub> = -{∇p<sub>h</sub> ⋅ n<sub>σ</sub>}<sub>ω</sub>

Local efficiency of the estimates for  $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$ 

## **Properties**

- guaranteed upper bound
- local and global efficiency
- full robustness
- negligible evaluation cost
- locally, our estimator is a lower bound for the classical residual one, with better constants

## Local efficiency of the estimates for $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla p) = f$

## **Properties**

- guaranteed upper bound
- local and global efficiency
- full robustness
- negligible evaluation cost
- locally, our estimator is a lower bound for the classical residual one, with better constants

## A finite element method with harmonic averaging

### A finite element method with harmonic averaging:

$$(\tilde{a} \nabla p_h, \nabla \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h,$$

#### where

 $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}|_{K} = \left( (\mathbf{a}^{-1}, 1)_{K} / |K| \right)^{-1} \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}.$ 

**Changes with respect to classical FEs** 

- of course  $\tilde{a} = a$  when a piecewise constant on  $T_h$
- a piecewise constant on  $\mathcal{D}_h$ : harmonic averaging of a

Flux from D to E:	Flux from D to E:
$-a_{D,E} \sigma_{D,E} /d_{D,E}(p_E-p_D)$	$(\hat{a}  abla arphi_E,  abla arphi_D)(p_E - p_D)$
arithmetic averaging:	• arithmetic averaging: $\hat{a} = a$
$a_{D,E}=rac{a_{ _D}+a_{ _E}}{2}$	• harmonic averaging: $\hat{a} = \tilde{a}$
harmonic averaging:	
$a_{D,E}=rac{2a_{ D}a _E}{a_{ D}+a _E}$	

## A finite element method with harmonic averaging

## A finite element method with harmonic averaging:

$$(\tilde{a} \nabla p_h, \nabla \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h,$$

where

 $\tilde{a}|_{K} = \left((a^{-1},1)_{K}/|K|\right)^{-1} \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}.$ 

## Changes with respect to classical FEs

- of course  $\tilde{a} = a$  when a piecewise constant on  $T_h$
- a piecewise constant on  $\mathcal{D}_h$ : harmonic averaging of a

Flux from <i>D</i> to <i>E</i> : $-a_{D,E} \sigma_{D,E} /d_{D,E}(p_E - p_D)$ • arithmetic averaging: $a_{D,E} = \frac{a_{D} + a_{E}}{2}$	Flux from <i>D</i> to <i>E</i> : $(\hat{a} \nabla \varphi_E, \nabla \varphi_D)(p_E - p_D)$ • arithmetic averaging: $\hat{a} = a$ • harmonic averaging: $\hat{a} = \tilde{a}$
• harmonic averaging: $a_{D,E} = \frac{2a _Da _E}{a _D+a _E}$	

## A finite element method with harmonic averaging

## A finite element method with harmonic averaging:

$$(\tilde{a} \nabla p_h, \nabla \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h,$$

where

 $\tilde{a}|_{K} = \left((a^{-1},1)_{K}/|K|\right)^{-1} \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}.$ 

## Changes with respect to classical FEs

• of course  $\tilde{a} = a$  when a piecewise constant on  $T_h$ 

M. Vohralík

• a piecewise constant on  $\mathcal{D}_h$ : harmonic averaging of a

Cell-centered finite volumes	Finite elements
Flux from D to E:	Flux from D to E:
$-a_{D,E} \sigma_{D,E} /d_{D,E}(p_E-p_D)$	$(\hat{a}  abla arphi_E,  abla arphi_D)(p_E - p_D)$
• arithmetic averaging: $a_{D,E} = \frac{a _D + a _E}{2}$	<ul> <li>arithmetic averaging: â = a</li> <li>harmonic averaging: â = ã</li> </ul>
• harmonic averaging: $a_{D,E} = \frac{2a _{D}a _{E}}{a _{D}+a _{E}}$	

A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

## A finite element method with harmonic averaging

A finite element method with harmonic averaging:

$$(\tilde{a} \nabla p_h, \nabla \varphi_h) = (f, \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h,$$

where

 $\tilde{a}|_{K} = \left((a^{-1},1)_{K}/|K|\right)^{-1} \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}.$ 

## Changes with respect to classical FEs

• of course  $\tilde{a} = a$  when a piecewise constant on  $T_h$ 

M. Vohralík

• a piecewise constant on  $\mathcal{D}_h$ : harmonic averaging of a

Cell-centered finite volumes	Finite elements
Flux from D to E: $-a_D \int dD \int dD \int (DD - DD)$	Flux from D to E: $(\hat{a} \nabla \varphi_F, \nabla \varphi_D)(p_F - p_D)$
• arithmetic averaging: $a_{D,E} = \frac{a _D + a _E}{2}$	<ul> <li>arithmetic averaging: â = a</li> <li>harmonic averaging: â = ã</li> </ul>
• harmonic averaging: $a_{D,E} = \frac{2a _Da _E}{a _D+a _E}$	

A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

## L-shape domain example and finite elements

#### Problem

$$\begin{split} - \bigtriangleup \pmb{\rho} &= \pmb{0}, \qquad \text{in } \Omega \\ \pmb{\rho} &= \pmb{\rho}_{\pmb{0}}, \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{split}$$

## Exact solution (polar coordinates)

$$p_0(r,\varphi) = r^{-\frac{2}{3}} \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\varphi\right)$$



## Effectivity index – comparison, uniform refinement



Effectivity indices for the jump and classical estimators

## Improvement by local minimization

## Observation

- Fluxes of  $\mathbf{t}_h$  need to be prescribed on the boundary of dual volumes only to get  $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, 1)_D = (f, 1)_D$ .
- We can choose them on other edges.



## Local minimization (for each vertex)

- compute local minimization matrix for the internal fluxes
- solve local linear problem (size = number od sides sharing the given vertex)
- compute the estimators
- the whole estimate still has a linear cost

## Improvement by local minimization

## Observation

- Fluxes of  $\mathbf{t}_h$  need to be prescribed on the boundary of dual volumes only to get  $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h, 1)_D = (f, 1)_D$ .
- We can choose them on other edges.



## Local minimization (for each vertex)

- compute local minimization matrix for the internal fluxes
- solve local linear problem (size = number od sides sharing the given vertex)
- compute the estimators
- the whole estimate still has a linear cost

1 10 & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency Residual and diffusive flux estimators, uniform

refinement



Residual and diffusive flux estimators comparison

M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

## Effectivity index – comparison, uniform refinement



# Residual and diffusive flux estimators, uniform refinement



Residual and diffusive flux estimators comparison

M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

## Effectivity index – comparison, adaptive refinement



Effectivity indices for the jump, minimization, and classical estimators

M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

## Error distribution on a uniformly refined mesh



#### Estimated error distribution



Exact error distribution

## Error distribution on an adaptively refined mesh



#### Estimated error distribution



Exact error distribution

## Energy error



## Effectivity index



Effectivity index, uniformly/adaptively refined meshes

# Discontinuous diffusion tensor and vertex-centered finite volumes

• consider the pure diffusion equation

 $-\nabla \cdot (a \nabla p) = 0$  in  $\Omega = (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ 

• discontinuous and inhomogeneous *a*, two cases:



analytical solution: singularity at the origin

 $p(r,\theta)|_{\Omega_i} = r^{\alpha}(a_i \sin(\alpha \theta) + b_i \cos(\alpha \theta))$ 

- $(r, \theta)$  polar coordinates in  $\Omega$
- $a_i, b_i$  constants depending on  $\Omega_i$
- $\alpha$  regularity of the solution

## Analytical solutions



## Error distribution on a uniformly refined mesh, case 1



I 10 & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency Error distribution on an adaptively refined mesh, case 2



Estimated error distribution

Exact error distribution

5.743

5.105

4 468

3 83

- 3.193

2.556

1.918

1 281

0.6434

0.005999

## Approximate solutions on adaptively refined meshes



M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

## Estimated and actual error in uniformly/adaptively refined meshes



M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs
I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

# Original effectivity indices in uniformly/adaptively refined meshes



I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Clas. est. Opt. fram. Opt. est. FEs & FVs Efficiency

# Effectivity indices in uniformly/adaptively refined meshes using a simple local minimization



M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

# Outline

#### Introduction

- Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- 3 Pure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

4 Convection-reaction-diffusion and nonconforming methods

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods
- Complements
  - Conclusions and future work

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

# A model convection-diffusion-reaction problem

#### A model convection-diffusion-reaction problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Assumptions

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2, 3, is a polygonal domain
- S|<sub>K</sub> is a constant SPD matrix, c<sub>S,K</sub> its smallest, and C<sub>S,K</sub> its largest eigenvalue on each K ∈ T<sub>h</sub>
- $(r \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})|_{K} \ge c_{\mathbf{w},r,K} \ge 0$  on each  $K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}$  (from pure diffusion to convection–diffusion–reaction cases)

Difficulties

- S is a piecewise constant matrix, inhomogeneous and anisotropic
- w is dominating

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

# A model convection-diffusion-reaction problem

#### A model convection-diffusion-reaction problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{aligned}$$

#### Assumptions

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2, 3, is a polygonal domain
- S|<sub>K</sub> is a constant SPD matrix, c<sub>S,K</sub> its smallest, and C<sub>S,K</sub> its largest eigenvalue on each K ∈ T<sub>h</sub>
- $(r \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})|_{\mathcal{K}} \ge c_{\mathbf{w},r,\mathcal{K}} \ge 0$  on each  $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_h$  (from pure diffusion to convection–diffusion–reaction cases)

Difficulties

- S is a piecewise constant matrix, inhomogeneous and anisotropic
- w is dominating

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

# A model convection-diffusion-reaction problem

#### A model convection-diffusion-reaction problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{aligned}$$

#### Assumptions

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2, 3, is a polygonal domain
- S|<sub>K</sub> is a constant SPD matrix, c<sub>S,K</sub> its smallest, and C<sub>S,K</sub> its largest eigenvalue on each K ∈ T<sub>h</sub>
- $(r \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})|_{\mathcal{K}} \ge c_{\mathbf{w},r,\mathcal{K}} \ge 0$  on each  $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_h$  (from pure diffusion to convection–diffusion–reaction cases)

## Difficulties

- S is a piecewise constant matrix, inhomogeneous and anisotropic
- w is dominating

# Bilinear form, weak solution, and energy norm

#### Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $p, \varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p}, arphi) := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left\{ (\mathbf{S} 
abla \boldsymbol{p}, 
abla arphi)_K + (\mathbf{w} \cdot 
abla \boldsymbol{p}, arphi)_K + (\mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{p}, arphi)_K 
ight\}.$$

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1$ 

#### Definition (Energy (semi-)norm)

We define the energy (semi-)norm for  $\varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

 $|||\varphi|||^{2} := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2}, |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2} := \left\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \varphi\right\|_{K}^{2} + \left\|\left(r - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\right\|_{K}^{2}.$ 

# Bilinear form, weak solution, and energy norm

#### Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $p, \varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{
ho}, arphi) := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left\{ (\mathbf{S} 
abla \boldsymbol{
ho}, 
abla arphi)_K + (\mathbf{w} \cdot 
abla \boldsymbol{
ho}, arphi)_K + (\mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{
ho}, arphi)_K 
ight\}.$$

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

#### Definition (Energy (semi-)norm)

We define the energy (semi-)norm for  $arphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

$$|||\varphi|||^{2} := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2}, |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2} := \left\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \varphi\right\|_{K}^{2} + \left\|\left(r - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\right\|_{K}^{2}.$$

# Bilinear form, weak solution, and energy norm

#### Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\boldsymbol{p}, \varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\varphi) := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left\{ (\mathbf{S} \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}, \nabla \varphi)_K + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}, \varphi)_K + (\mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{\rho}, \varphi)_K \right\}.$$

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

#### Definition (Energy (semi-)norm)

We define the energy (semi-)norm for  $\varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

$$|||\varphi|||^{2} := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2}, |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2} := \left\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \varphi\right\|_{K}^{2} + \left\|\left(r - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\right\|_{K}^{2}.$$

# Outline

#### Introduction

- Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- 3 Pure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

Convection-reaction-diffusion and nonconforming methods

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods
- 5 Complements
  - Conclusions and future work

Optimal abstr. fr. for 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}\nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$$

Theorem (Optimal abstract framework, nonconf. & gen. case)  
Let 
$$p \in H_0^1(\Omega), p_h \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$$
 be arbitrary. Then  
 $|||p - p_h||| \leq \inf_{s \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \left\{ |||p_h - s||| + \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1} |\mathcal{B}(p - p_h, \varphi) + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla(p_h - s) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(p_h - s), \varphi)| \right\}.$   
 $\leq 2|||p - p_h|||.$ 

- Guaranteed upper bound, quasi-exact, and robust.
- Holds uniformly for any mesh (anisotropic) and polynomial degree of p<sub>h</sub>.
- Not computable (includes *p*).

nal fearain and a first actionate

Optimal abstr. fr. for 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}\nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$$

Theorem (Optimal abstract framework, nonconf. & gen. case) Let  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $p_h \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  be arbitrary. Then  $|||p - p_h||| \leq \inf_{s \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \left\{ |||p_h - s||| + \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1} |\mathcal{B}(p - p_h, \varphi) + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla(p_h - s) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(p_h - s), \varphi) | \right\}.$  $\leq 2|||p - p_h|||.$ 

- Guaranteed upper bound, quasi-exact, and robust.
- Holds uniformly for any mesh (anisotropic) and polynomial degree of p<sub>h</sub>.
- Not computable (includes *p*).

Optimal abstr. fr. for 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}\nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$$

Theorem (Optimal abstract framework, nonconf. & gen. case)  
Let 
$$p \in H_0^1(\Omega), p_h \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$$
 be arbitrary. Then  
 $|||p - p_h||| \leq \inf_{s \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \left\{ |||p_h - s||| + \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1} |\mathcal{B}(p - p_h, \varphi) + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla(p_h - s) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(p_h - s), \varphi)| \right\}.$   
 $\leq 2|||p - p_h|||.$ 

- Guaranteed upper bound, quasi-exact, and robust.
- Holds uniformly for any mesh (anisotropic) and polynomial degree of p<sub>h</sub>.
- Not computable (includes *p*).

framework and a first actimate

Optimal abstr. fr. for 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}\nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$$

Theorem (Optimal abstract framework, nonconf. & gen. case)  
Let 
$$p \in H_0^1(\Omega), p_h \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$$
 be arbitrary. Then  
 $|||p - p_h||| \leq \inf_{s \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \left\{ |||p_h - s||| + \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1} |\mathcal{B}(p - p_h, \varphi) + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla(p_h - s) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(p_h - s), \varphi)| \right\}.$   
 $\leq 2|||p - p_h|||.$ 

- Guaranteed upper bound, quasi-exact, and robust.
- Holds uniformly for any mesh (anisotropic) and polynomial degree of p<sub>h</sub>.
- Not computable (includes *p*).

**1** 10 & FES Pure dit. & cont. CRD & none. Compl. C  
**Optimal abstr. est.** for 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}\nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$$
  
**Theorem (Optimal abstract estimate, nonconf.** & gen. case)  
Let  $p$  be the weak sol. and let  $p_h \in H^1(T_h)$  be arbitrary. Then  
 $\|\|p - p_h\|\| \leq \inf_{s \in H^1_0(\Omega)} \left\{ \|\|p_h - s\|\|$   
 $+ \inf_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)} \sup_{\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega), \|\|\varphi\|\| = 1} |(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla s - rs, \varphi)|$   
 $-(\mathbf{S}\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi) + ((r - \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(s - p_h), \varphi)| \right\}$   
 $\leq 2\|\|p - p_h\|\|.$ 

• Guaranteed upper bound, quasi-exact, and robust.

• Holds uniformly for any mesh and polynomial degree.

- The solution *p* has been eliminated using  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi)$
- Not computable (infimum over an infinite-dim. space).

**1** 10 & FES Pure dit & cont. CRD & nonc. Compl. C  
**Optimal abstr. est.** for 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}\nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$$
  
**Theorem (Optimal abstract estimate, nonconf.** & gen. case)  
Let  $p$  be the weak sol. and let  $p_h \in H^1(T_h)$  be arbitrary. Then  
 $|||p - p_h||| \leq \inf_{s \in H^1_0(\Omega)} \left\{ |||p_h - s||| + \inf_{t \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)} \sup_{\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1} |(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla s - rs, \varphi) - (\mathbf{S}\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi) + ((r - \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(s - p_h), \varphi)| \right\}$   
 $\leq 2|||p - p_h|||.$ 

- Guaranteed upper bound, quasi-exact, and robust.
- Holds uniformly for any mesh and polynomial degree.
- The solution *p* has been eliminated using  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi)$ .
- Not computable (infimum over an infinite-dim. space).

**1** 10 & FES Pure dit & cont. CRD & nonc. Compl. C  
**Optimal abstr. est.** for 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}\nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$$
  
**Theorem (Optimal abstract estimate, nonconf.** & gen. case)  
Let  $p$  be the weak sol. and let  $p_h \in H^1(T_h)$  be arbitrary. Then  
 $|||p - p_h||| \leq \inf_{s \in H^1_0(\Omega)} \left\{ |||p_h - s||| + \inf_{t \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)} \sup_{\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega), |||\varphi||| = 1} |(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla s - rs, \varphi) - (\mathbf{S}\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi) + ((r - \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(s - p_h), \varphi)| \right\}$   
 $\leq 2|||p - p_h|||.$ 

- Guaranteed upper bound, quasi-exact, and robust.
- Holds uniformly for any mesh and polynomial degree.
- The solution p has been eliminated using  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi)$ .
- Not computable (infimum over an infinite-dim. space).

**1** 10 & FES Pure dit. & cont. CBD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate. DGs FVS  
**Optimal abstr. est. for** 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}\nabla p) + \mathbf{W} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$$
  
**Theorem (Optimal abstract estimate, nonconf. & gen. case)**  
Let  $p$  be the weak sol. and let  $p_h \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  be arbitrary. Then  
 $\|\|p - p_h\|\| \leq \inf_{s \in H^1_0(\Omega)} \left\{ \|\|p_h - s\|\|$   
 $+ \inf_{t \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega)} \sup_{\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega), \|\|\varphi\|\| = 1} |(f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{W} \cdot \nabla s - rs, \varphi)|$   
 $-(\mathbf{S}\nabla p_h + \mathbf{t}, \nabla \varphi) + ((r - \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{W})(s - p_h), \varphi)|\right\}$   
 $\leq 2\|\|p - p_h\|\|.$ 

- Guaranteed upper bound, quasi-exact, and robust.
- Holds uniformly for any mesh and polynomial degree.
- The solution p has been eliminated using  $\mathcal{B}(p,\varphi) = (f,\varphi)$ .
- Not computable (infimum over an infinite-dim. space).

Theorem (A first computable estimate, nonconf. & gen. case)

Let *p* be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$  and any  $s_h \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ . Then  $|||p - p_h|||$ 

$$\leq |||\boldsymbol{p}_{h} - \boldsymbol{s}_{h}||| + \min\left\{\frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2}h_{\Omega}}{\min_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}c_{\mathbf{S},K}^{1/2}}, \frac{1}{\min_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}^{1/2}}\right\} \\ \times \|\boldsymbol{f} - \nabla\cdot\mathbf{t}_{h} - \mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{s}_{h} - \boldsymbol{rs}_{h}\| \\ + \left(\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\boldsymbol{p}_{h} + \mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_{h}\|^{2} + \|(\boldsymbol{r} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w})^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{p}_{h} - \boldsymbol{s}_{h})\|^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

- Guaranteed upper bound ( $C_{F,\Omega} \leq 1$ ).
- $\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + \mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|$  penalizes  $-\mathbf{S} \nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ .
- $|||p_h s_h|||$  penalizes  $p_h \notin H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Theorem (A first computable estimate, nonconf. & gen. case)

Let p be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$  and any  $s_h \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ . Then  $|||p - p_h|||$ 

$$\leq |||\boldsymbol{p}_{h} - \boldsymbol{s}_{h}||| + \min\left\{\frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2}h_{\Omega}}{\min_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}c_{\mathbf{S},K}^{1/2}}, \frac{1}{\min_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}^{1/2}}\right\} \\ \times \|\boldsymbol{f} - \nabla\cdot\mathbf{t}_{h} - \mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{s}_{h} - \boldsymbol{rs}_{h}\| \\ + \left(\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\boldsymbol{p}_{h} + \mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_{h}\|^{2} + \|(\boldsymbol{r} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w})^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{p}_{h} - \boldsymbol{s}_{h})\|^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

- Guaranteed upper bound ( $C_{F,\Omega} \leq 1$ ).
- $\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + \mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|$  penalizes  $-\mathbf{S} \nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ .
- $|||p_h s_h|||$  penalizes  $p_h \notin H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Theorem (A first computable estimate, nonconf. & gen. case)

Let *p* be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$  and any  $s_h \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ . Then  $|||p - p_h|||$ 

$$\leq |||\boldsymbol{p}_{h} - \boldsymbol{s}_{h}||| + \min\left\{\frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2}h_{\Omega}}{\min_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}c_{\mathbf{S},K}^{1/2}}, \frac{1}{\min_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}^{1/2}}\right\} \\ \times ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_{h} - \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{s}_{h} - \boldsymbol{rs}_{h}|| \\ + \left(\left\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_{h} + \mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_{h}\right\|^{2} + \left\|(r - \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{p}_{h} - \boldsymbol{s}_{h})\right\|^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

- Guaranteed upper bound ( $C_{F,\Omega} \leq 1$ ).
- $\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla p_h + \mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_h\|$  penalizes  $-\mathbf{S}\nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ .
- $|||p_h s_h|||$  penalizes  $p_h \notin H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Theorem (A first computable estimate, nonconf. & gen. case)

Let *p* be the weak solution and let  $p_h \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  be arbitrary. Take any  $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$  and any  $s_h \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ . Then  $|||p - p_h|||$ 

$$\leq |||\boldsymbol{p}_{h} - \boldsymbol{s}_{h}||| + \min\left\{\frac{C_{\mathrm{F},\Omega}^{1/2}h_{\Omega}}{\min_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}c_{\mathbf{S},K}^{1/2}}, \frac{1}{\min_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}^{1/2}}\right\} \\ \times \|\boldsymbol{f} - \nabla\cdot\mathbf{t}_{h} - \mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{s}_{h} - \boldsymbol{rs}_{h}\| \\ + \left(\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\boldsymbol{p}_{h} + \mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_{h}\|^{2} + \|(\boldsymbol{r} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w})^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{p}_{h} - \boldsymbol{s}_{h})\|^{2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

- Guaranteed upper bound ( $C_{F,\Omega} \leq 1$ ).
- $\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + \mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h\|$  penalizes  $-\mathbf{S} \nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ .
- $|||p_h s_h|||$  penalizes  $p_h \notin H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

# Outline

#### Introduction

- Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- 3 Pure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate
- 4 Convection–reaction–diffusion and nonconforming methods
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
  - Estimates for finite volume methods
- Complements
- Conclusions and future work

# Discontinuous Galerkin method

#### **Discontinuous Galerkin method**

• Find 
$$p_h \in \mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{T}_h)$$
 such that for all  $\varphi_h \in \mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{T}_h)$ 

$$(\mathbf{S}\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_{h}, \nabla \varphi_{h}) + ((\boldsymbol{r} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{p}_{h}, \varphi_{h}) - (\boldsymbol{p}_{h}, \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{h}) - \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{h}} \left\{ \langle \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}^{t} \{ \mathbf{S}\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \}_{\omega}, \llbracket \varphi_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\sigma} + \theta \langle \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}^{t} \{ \mathbf{S}\nabla \varphi_{h} \}_{\omega}, \llbracket \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\sigma} \right\} + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{h}} \left\{ \langle \gamma_{\sigma} \llbracket \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \rrbracket, \llbracket \varphi_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\sigma} + \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma} \{ \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \}, \llbracket \varphi_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\sigma} \right\} = (\boldsymbol{f}, \varphi_{h})$$

- jump operator  $\llbracket v \rrbracket_{\sigma} = v^{-} v^{+}$
- average operator  $\{v\}_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(v^- + v^+)$
- harmonic-weighted average operator
   {*v*}<sub>ω</sub> = (ω<sup>-</sup> v<sup>-</sup> + ω<sup>+</sup> v<sup>+</sup>)
- $p_h \notin H_0^1(\Omega), -\mathbf{S} \nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$

# Discontinuous Galerkin method

#### **Discontinuous Galerkin method**

• Find 
$$p_h \in \mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{T}_h)$$
 such that for all  $\varphi_h \in \mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{T}_h)$ 

$$(\mathbf{S}\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_{h}, \nabla \varphi_{h}) + ((\boldsymbol{r} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\boldsymbol{p}_{h}, \varphi_{h}) - (\boldsymbol{p}_{h}, \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{h}) - \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{h}} \left\{ \langle \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}^{t} \{ \mathbf{S}\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \}_{\omega}, \llbracket \varphi_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\sigma} + \theta \langle \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}^{t} \{ \mathbf{S}\nabla \varphi_{h} \}_{\omega}, \llbracket \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\sigma} \right\} + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{h}} \left\{ \langle \gamma_{\sigma} \llbracket \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \rrbracket, \llbracket \varphi_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\sigma} + \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma} \{ \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \}, \llbracket \varphi_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\sigma} \right\} = (\boldsymbol{f}, \varphi_{h})$$

- jump operator  $\llbracket v \rrbracket_{\sigma} = v^{-} v^{+}$
- average operator  $\{v\}_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(v^- + v^+)$
- harmonic-weighted average operator
   {*v*}<sub>ω</sub> = (ω<sup>-</sup> v<sup>-</sup> + ω<sup>+</sup> v<sup>+</sup>)
- $p_h \notin H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $-\mathbf{S} \nabla p_h \notin \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$

## Scalar and diffusive/convective flux reconstructions

Choice of  $s_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ 

s<sub>h</sub> = I<sub>Os</sub>(p<sub>h</sub>) is the so-called Oswald interpolate of p<sub>h</sub>

Choice of  $\mathbf{t}_h, \mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ 

- t<sub>h</sub>: diffusive flux reconstruction
- q<sub>h</sub>: convective flux reconstruction
- both given on T<sub>h</sub> in the Raviart–Thomas–Nédélec spaces
- defined using the properties of the DG scheme
- satisfy in general

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_h + (\mathbf{r} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})p_h)|_K = \prod_k (f)|_k \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h$$

# Scalar and diffusive/convective flux reconstructions

Choice of  $s_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ 

•  $s_h = I_{Os}(p_h)$  is the so-called Oswald interpolate of  $p_h$ 

Choice of  $t_h, q_h \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ 

- t<sub>h</sub>: diffusive flux reconstruction
- **q**<sub>h</sub>: convective flux reconstruction
- both given on T<sub>h</sub> in the Raviart–Thomas–Nédélec spaces
- defined using the properties of the DG scheme
- satisfy in general

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_h + (\mathbf{r} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\mathbf{p}_h)|_{\mathcal{K}} = \Pi_k(f)|_{\mathcal{K}} \quad \forall \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_h$$

## Diffusive and convective flux reconstructions

**Diffusive flux reconstruction** (l = k or l = k - 1)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{t}_{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{q}_{h} \rangle_{\sigma} &= \langle -\mathbf{n}_{\sigma}^{t} \{ \mathbf{S} \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \}_{\omega} + \alpha_{\sigma} \gamma_{\mathbf{S},\sigma} h_{\sigma}^{-1} \llbracket \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \rrbracket, \boldsymbol{q}_{h} \rangle_{\sigma} \\ & \forall \boldsymbol{q}_{h} \in \mathbb{P}_{l}(\sigma), \, \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}, \\ (\mathbf{t}_{h}, \mathbf{r}_{h})_{\mathcal{K}} &= -(\mathbf{S} \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_{h}, \mathbf{r}_{h})_{\mathcal{K}} + \theta \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} \omega_{\mathcal{K},\sigma} \langle \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}^{t} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{r}_{h}, \llbracket \boldsymbol{p}_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\sigma} \\ & \forall \mathbf{r}_{h} \in \mathbb{P}_{l-1}^{d}(\mathcal{K}) \end{aligned}$$

**Convective flux reconstruction** (l = k or l = k - 1)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{q}_{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}, q_{h} \rangle_{\sigma} &= \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma} \{ p_{h} \} + \gamma_{\mathbf{w},\sigma} \llbracket p_{h} \rrbracket, q_{h} \rangle_{\sigma} \\ & \forall q_{h} \in \mathbb{P}_{l}(\sigma), \, \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}, \\ (\mathbf{q}_{h}, \mathbf{r}_{h})_{\mathcal{K}} &= (p_{h}, \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{h})_{\mathcal{K}} \quad \forall \mathbf{r}_{h} \in \mathbb{P}_{l-1}^{d}(\mathcal{K}) \end{aligned}$$

## Diffusive and convective flux reconstructions

**Diffusive flux reconstruction** (l = k or l = k - 1)

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle \mathbf{t}_{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}, q_{h} \rangle_{\sigma} &= \langle -\mathbf{n}_{\sigma}^{t} \{ \mathbf{S} \nabla p_{h} \}_{\omega} + \alpha_{\sigma} \gamma_{\mathbf{S},\sigma} h_{\sigma}^{-1} \llbracket p_{h} \rrbracket, q_{h} \rangle_{\sigma} \\ & \forall q_{h} \in \mathbb{P}_{l}(\sigma), \ \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}, \\ (\mathbf{t}_{h}, \mathbf{r}_{h})_{K} &= -(\mathbf{S} \nabla p_{h}, \mathbf{r}_{h})_{K} + \theta \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} \omega_{K,\sigma} \langle \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}^{t} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{r}_{h}, \llbracket p_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\sigma} \\ & \forall \mathbf{r}_{h} \in \mathbb{P}_{l-1}^{d}(K) \end{array}$$

**Convective flux reconstruction** (l = k or l = k - 1)

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle \mathbf{q}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_\sigma, q_h \rangle_\sigma &=& \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\sigma \{ p_h \} + \gamma_{\mathbf{w},\sigma} \llbracket p_h \rrbracket, q_h \rangle_\sigma \\ & \forall q_h \in \mathbb{P}_l(\sigma), \, \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K, \\ (\mathbf{q}_h, \mathbf{r}_h)_{\mathcal{K}} &=& (p_h, \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{r}_h)_{\mathcal{K}} \quad \forall \mathbf{r}_h \in \mathbb{P}_{l-1}^d(\mathcal{K}) \end{array}$$

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

A post. estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$ 

#### Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

There holds

$$\||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h\|\| \leq \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\mathrm{NC},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+\left\{\sum_{\mathcal{K}\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathcal{K}}+(\eta_{\mathrm{DF},\mathcal{K}}^{2}+\eta_{\mathrm{C},2,\mathcal{K}}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}+\eta_{\mathrm{C},1,\mathcal{K}}+\eta_{\mathrm{U},\mathcal{K}}\right)^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

• 
$$\eta_{\text{NC},K} = |||p_h - \mathcal{I}_{\text{Os}}(p_h)|||_K$$
 (nonconformity)

- $n_{\text{DE}K} = \|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + \mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h \|_{\mathcal{K}}$  (diffusive flux)
- $\eta_{\mathsf{R},\mathsf{K}} = m_{\mathsf{K}} \| f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_{b} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_{b} (r \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) p_{b} \|_{\mathsf{K}}$  (residual)
- $\eta_{C_{1,K}} = m_K \|\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q}_h \mathbf{w} \mathbf{s}_h) \Pi_0 (\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q}_h \mathbf{w} \mathbf{s}_h)) \|_K$  (convection)
- $\eta_{\mathrm{C},2,K} = \frac{1}{c^{1/2}} \left\| \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) (p_h s_h) \right\|_K$  (convection)
- $\eta_{U,K} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}} m_{\sigma} \| \Pi_{0,\sigma}((\mathbf{q}_{h} \mathbf{w}s_{h}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma}) \|_{\sigma}$  (upwinding).

1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

# A post. estimate for $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$

#### Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

There holds

$$\left| \boldsymbol{p}_h 
ight| 
ight| \leq \left\{ \sum_{\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{I}_h} \eta_{ ext{NC},\mathcal{K}}^2 
ight\}^{rac{1}{2}}$$

$$+\left\{\sum_{\mathcal{K}\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}\left(\eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathcal{K}}+(\eta_{\mathrm{DF},\mathcal{K}}^{2}+\eta_{\mathrm{C},2,\mathcal{K}}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}+\eta_{\mathrm{C},1,\mathcal{K}}+\eta_{\mathrm{U},\mathcal{K}}\right)^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

|||p -

• 
$$\eta_{NC,K} = |||p_h - \mathcal{I}_{Os}(p_h)|||_K$$
 (nonconformity)  
•  $\eta_{DF,K} = ||\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla p_h + \mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}_h||_K$  (diffusive flux)  
•  $\eta_{R,K} = m_K ||f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_h - (r - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})p_h||_K$  (residual)  
•  $\eta_{C,1,K} = m_K ||\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q}_h - \mathbf{w}s_h) - \Pi_0(\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q}_h - \mathbf{w}s_h))||_K$  (convection)  
•  $\eta_{C,2,K} = \frac{1}{c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}^{1/2}} ||\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(p_h - s_h)||_K$  (convection)  
•  $\eta_{U,K} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m_\sigma ||\Pi_{0,\sigma}((\mathbf{q}_h - \mathbf{w}s_h) \cdot \mathbf{n}_\sigma)||_\sigma$  (upwinding).

# Loc. efficiency for $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$

### Theorem (Local efficiency)

#### There holds

 $\eta_{\mathrm{NC},\mathcal{K}} + \eta_{\mathrm{DF},\mathcal{K}} + \eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathcal{K}} + \eta_{\mathrm{C},1,\mathcal{K}} + \eta_{\mathrm{C},2,\mathcal{K}} + \eta_{\mathrm{U},\mathcal{K}} \leq C_{\mathrm{eff},\mathcal{K}} ||| \boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h |||_{*,\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{K}}}.$ 

- guaranteed upper bound
- local and global efficiency
- negligible evaluation cost
- residual estimator  $\eta_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{K}}$  is a higher-order term
- valid also on anisotropic meshes
- valid uniformly with respect to polynomial degree
- semi-robust (*C*<sub>eff,K</sub> depends on local inhomogeneities and anisotropies and affinely on Pe<sub>K</sub>)

# Loc. efficiency for $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla p + rp = f$

#### Theorem (Local efficiency)

There holds

 $\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K} + \eta_{\mathrm{DF},K} + \eta_{\mathrm{R},K} + \eta_{\mathrm{C},1,K} + \eta_{\mathrm{C},2,K} + \eta_{\mathrm{U},K} \leq C_{\mathrm{eff},K} ||| \boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h |||_{*,\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_K}.$ 

- guaranteed upper bound
- local and global efficiency
- negligible evaluation cost
- residual estimator  $\eta_{R,K}$  is a higher-order term
- valid also on anisotropic meshes
- valid uniformly with respect to polynomial degree
- semi-robust ( $C_{\text{eff},K}$  depends on local inhomogeneities and anisotropies and affinely on  $\text{Pe}_K$ )

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

Discontinuous diffusion tensor and discontinuous Galerkin methods

• consider the pure diffusion equation

 $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) = 0$  in  $\Omega = (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ 

• discontinuous and inhomogeneous S, two cases:



analytical solution: singularity at the origin

 $p(r,\theta)|_{\Omega_i} = r^{\alpha}(a_i \sin(\alpha \theta) + b_i \cos(\alpha \theta))$ 

- $(r, \theta)$  polar coordinates in  $\Omega$
- $a_i, b_i$  constants depending on  $\Omega_i$
- $\alpha$  regularity of the solution

# Analytical solutions



### Series of refined meshes, case 1



Mesh with 342 elements



Mesh with 494 elements
## Estimated and actual error, case 1

			<i>l</i> = 0		/ = 1	
Ν	$\  p-p_h \ $	$\eta_{ m NC}$	$\eta_{\rm DF}$	eff.	$\eta_{\rm DF}$	eff.
112	6.11e-01	8.70e-1	7.43e-1	1.9	6.00e-1	1.7
448	4.28e-01	6.09e-1	5.35e-1	1.9	4.32e-1	1.7
1792	2.97e-01	4.23e-1	3.74e-1	1.9	3.05e-1	1.8
7168	2.01e-01	2.92e-1	2.60e-1	1.9	2.12e-1	1.8
order	0.53	0.53	0.53	-	0.52	-
•						

Convergence rates of error estimators for test case 1, unstructured meshes

## Estimated and actual error, case 2

		<i>l</i> = 0		/ = 1	
$\  p-p_h \ $	$\eta_{ m NC}$	$\eta_{\rm DF}$	eff.	$\eta_{\mathrm{DF}}$	eff.
3.27	11.8	2.39	3.7	1.89	3.7
3.11	11.3	2.33	3.7	1.84	3.7
2.93	10.8	2.23	3.8	1.77	3.7
2.75	10.3	2.12	3.8	1.68	3.8
0.09	0.08	0.08	-	0.07	-
	$\frac{   p - p_h   }{3.27}$ 3.11 2.93 2.75 0.09	$   p - p_h   $ $\eta_{NC}$ 3.27         11.8           3.11         11.3           2.93         10.8           2.75         10.3           0.09         0.08	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$l = 0$ $l =$ $   p - p_h   $ $\eta_{NC}$ $\eta_{DF}$ eff. $\eta_{DF}$ 3.2711.82.393.71.893.1111.32.333.71.842.9310.82.233.81.772.7510.32.123.81.680.090.080.08-0.07

Convergence rates of error estimators for test case 2, unstructured meshes

# Outline

#### Introduction

- Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- 3 Pure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

4 Convection-reaction-diffusion and nonconforming methods

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods
- **Complements**
- Conclusions and future work

# A convection–diffusion–reaction problem with general boundary conditions

#### Problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{w}) + rp &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= g & \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{D}}, \\ -\mathbf{S} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n} &= u & \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$$

Assumptions

•  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2, 3, is a polygonal domain

- S|<sub>K</sub> is a constant SPD matrix, c<sub>S,K</sub> its smallest, and C<sub>S,K</sub> its largest eigenvalue on each K ∈ T<sub>h</sub>
- $(\frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + r)|_{K} \ge c_{\mathbf{w},r,K} \ge 0$  on each  $K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}$  (from pure diffusion to convection–diffusion–reaction cases)

Difficulties

- S is a piecewise constant matrix, inhomogeneous and anisotropic
- w is dominating

# A convection–diffusion–reaction problem with general boundary conditions

#### Problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{w}) + rp &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= g & \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{D}}, \\ -\mathbf{S} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n} &= u & \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$$

#### Assumptions

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2, 3, is a polygonal domain
- S|<sub>K</sub> is a constant SPD matrix, c<sub>S,K</sub> its smallest, and C<sub>S,K</sub> its largest eigenvalue on each K ∈ T<sub>h</sub>
- $(\frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + r)|_{\mathcal{K}} \ge c_{\mathbf{w},r,\mathcal{K}} \ge 0$  on each  $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_h$  (from pure diffusion to convection–diffusion–reaction cases)

Difficulties

- S is a piecewise constant matrix, inhomogeneous and anisotropic
- w is dominating

# A convection–diffusion–reaction problem with general boundary conditions

#### Problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{w}) + rp &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= g & \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{D}}, \\ -\mathbf{S} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n} &= u & \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$$

#### Assumptions

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2, 3, is a polygonal domain
- S|<sub>K</sub> is a constant SPD matrix, c<sub>S,K</sub> its smallest, and C<sub>S,K</sub> its largest eigenvalue on each K ∈ T<sub>h</sub>
- $(\frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + r)|_{\mathcal{K}} \ge c_{\mathbf{w},r,\mathcal{K}} \ge 0$  on each  $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_h$  (from pure diffusion to convection–diffusion–reaction cases)

## Difficulties

- S is a piecewise constant matrix, inhomogeneous and anisotropic
- w is dominating

# Bilinear form, weak solution, and energy norm

#### Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $p, \varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p}, arphi) := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left\{ (\mathbf{S} 
abla \boldsymbol{p}, 
abla arphi)_K + (
abla \cdot (\mathbf{w} \boldsymbol{p}), arphi)_K + (\boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{p}, arphi)_K 
ight\}.$$

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H^1(\Omega)$  with  $p|_{\Gamma_D} = g$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) - \langle u, \varphi \rangle_{\Gamma_N} \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1_D(\Omega)$ 

#### Definition (Energy (semi-)norm)

We define the energy (semi-)norm for  $\varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

 $|||\varphi|||^{2} := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2}, |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2} := \left\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \varphi\right\|_{K}^{2} + \left\|\left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\right\|_{K}^{2}.$ 

# Bilinear form, weak solution, and energy norm

## Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $p, \varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}(oldsymbol{
ho},arphi) := \sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} ig\{ (oldsymbol{S}
abla oldsymbol{
ho},
abla arphi)_K + (
abla \cdot oldsymbol{
ho}, arphi)_K + (r oldsymbol{
ho}, arphi)_K ig\}.$$

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution: 
$$p \in H^1(\Omega)$$
 with  $p|_{\Gamma_D} = g$  such that  
 $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) - \langle u, \varphi \rangle_{\Gamma_N} \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1_D(\Omega).$ 

#### Definition (Energy (semi-)norm)

We define the energy (semi-)norm for  $\varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

 $|||\varphi|||^{2} := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2}, |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2} := \left\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \varphi\right\|_{K}^{2} + \left\|\left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\right\|_{K}^{2}.$ 

# Bilinear form, weak solution, and energy norm

#### Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $p, \varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}(oldsymbol{
ho},arphi) := \sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h} \left\{ (\mathbf{S}
abla oldsymbol{
ho}, 
abla arphi)_K + (
abla \cdot (\mathbf{w} oldsymbol{
ho}), arphi)_K + (r oldsymbol{
ho}, arphi)_K 
ight\}.$$

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H^1(\Omega)$  with  $p|_{\Gamma_D} = g$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(p, \varphi) = (f, \varphi) - \langle u, \varphi \rangle_{\Gamma_N} \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1_D(\Omega).$ 

### Definition (Energy (semi-)norm)

We define the energy (semi-)norm for  $\varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  by

$$|||\varphi|||^{2} := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2}, |||\varphi|||_{K}^{2} := \left\|\mathbf{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\varphi\right\|_{K}^{2} + \left\|\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi\right\|_{K}^{2}.$$

## General finite volume scheme

Definition (FV scheme for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p\mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ )

Find  $p_K$ ,  $K \in T_h$ , such that

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{K}}S_{K,\sigma}+\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{K}}W_{K,\sigma}+r_{K}p_{K}|K|=f_{K}|K|\qquad\forall K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}.$$

• 
$$S_{K,\sigma}$$
: diffusive flux  
 $W_{K,\sigma}$ : convective flux

no specific form, ust conservativity needed

- $r_K := (r, 1)/|K|$
- $f_K := (f, 1)/|K|$

Example

• 
$$S_{K,\sigma} = -s_{K,L} \frac{|\sigma_{K,L}|}{d_{K,L}} (p_L - p_K)$$

•  $W_{K,\sigma} = p_{\sigma} \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\sigma}$ : weighted-upwind

## General finite volume scheme

Definition (FV scheme for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p\mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ )

Find  $p_K$ ,  $K \in T_h$ , such that

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{K}}S_{K,\sigma}+\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{K}}W_{K,\sigma}+r_{K}p_{K}|K|=f_{K}|K|\qquad\forall K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}.$$

• 
$$S_{K,\sigma}$$
: diffusive flux  
 $W_{K,\sigma}$ : convective flux  $just$  conservativity needed  
•  $r_K := (r, 1)/|K|$   
•  $f_K := (f, 1)/|K|$ 

Example

• 
$$S_{K,\sigma} = -s_{K,L} \frac{|\sigma_{K,L}|}{d_{K,L}} (p_L - p_K)$$

•  $W_{K,\sigma} = p_{\sigma} \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\sigma}$ : weighted-upwind

# General finite volume scheme

Definition (FV scheme for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p\mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ )

Find  $p_K$ ,  $K \in T_h$ , such that

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{K}}S_{K,\sigma}+\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{E}_{K}}W_{K,\sigma}+r_{K}p_{K}|K|=f_{K}|K|\qquad\forall K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}.$$



Example

• 
$$S_{K,\sigma} = -s_{K,L} \frac{|\sigma_{K,L}|}{d_{K,L}} (p_L - p_K)$$

• 
$$W_{K,\sigma} = p_{\sigma} \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\sigma}$$
: weighted-upwind



#### Definition (Postprocessed scalar variable $\tilde{p}_h$ )

We define  $\tilde{p}_h$  such that, separately on each  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p_h) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} S_{K,\sigma}, \\ (1 - \mu_K) (\tilde{p}_h, 1)_K / |\mathcal{K}| + \mu_K \tilde{p}_h(\mathbf{x}_K) &= p_K, \\ -\mathbf{S} \nabla \tilde{p}_h|_K \cdot \mathbf{n} &= S_{K,\sigma} / |\sigma| \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K. \end{aligned}$$

- $\tilde{p}_h$  exists and is unique
- flux of  $\tilde{p}_h$  is given by  $S_{K,\sigma}$ , point or mean value by  $p_K$
- $\tilde{p}_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$ , only  $\in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  in general
- $-\mathbf{S}\nabla \tilde{p}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \Rightarrow \operatorname{put} \mathbf{t}_h = -\mathbf{S}\nabla \tilde{p}_h$  in the gen. fram.
- given on  $T_h$ , no need for a dual mesh
- for simplices or rectangular parallelepipeds when S is diagonal: p
   *p b i* s a piecewise second-order polynomial

#### Definition (Postprocessed scalar variable $\tilde{p}_h$ )

We define  $\tilde{p}_h$  such that, separately on each  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ ,

$$(1 - \mu_{K})(\tilde{p}_{h}, 1)_{K}/|K| + \mu_{K}\tilde{p}_{h}(\mathbf{x}_{K}) = p_{K},$$
  
$$-\mathbf{S}\nabla\tilde{p}_{h}|_{K} \cdot \mathbf{n} = S_{K,\sigma}/|\sigma| \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K}.$$

 $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{t}) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \mathbf{c}_{t}$ 

- $\tilde{p}_h$  exists and is unique
- flux of  $\tilde{p}_h$  is given by  $S_{K,\sigma}$ , point or mean value by  $p_K$
- $\tilde{p}_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$ , only  $\in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  in general
- $-\mathbf{S}\nabla \tilde{p}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \Rightarrow \operatorname{put} \mathbf{t}_h = -\mathbf{S}\nabla \tilde{p}_h$  in the gen. fram.
- given on  $T_h$ , no need for a dual mesh
- for simplices or rectangular parallelepipeds when S is diagonal: p
   *p b i* s a piecewise second-order polynomial

#### Definition (Postprocessed scalar variable $\tilde{p}_h$ )

We define  $\tilde{p}_h$  such that, separately on each  $K \in T_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p_h) &= \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} S_{K,\sigma}, \\ (1 - \mu_K) (\tilde{p}_h, 1)_K / |K| + \mu_K \tilde{p}_h(\mathbf{x}_K) &= p_K, \\ -\mathbf{S} \nabla \tilde{p}_h|_K \cdot \mathbf{n} &= S_{K,\sigma} / |\sigma| \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K. \end{aligned}$$

- $\tilde{p}_h$  exists and is unique
- flux of  $\tilde{p}_h$  is given by  $S_{K,\sigma}$ , point or mean value by  $p_K$
- $\tilde{p}_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$ , only  $\in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  in general
- $-\mathbf{S}\nabla \tilde{p}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \Rightarrow \operatorname{put} \mathbf{t}_h = -\mathbf{S}\nabla \tilde{p}_h$  in the gen. fram.
- given on  $T_h$ , no need for a dual mesh
- for simplices or rectangular parallelepipeds when S is diagonal: p
   *μ* is a piecewise second-order polynomial

#### Definition (Postprocessed scalar variable $\tilde{p}_h$ )

We define  $\tilde{p}_h$  such that, separately on each  $K \in T_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p_h) &= \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} S_{K,\sigma}, \\ (1 - \mu_K) (\tilde{p}_h, 1)_K / |K| + \mu_K \tilde{p}_h(\mathbf{x}_K) &= p_K, \\ -\mathbf{S} \nabla \tilde{p}_h|_K \cdot \mathbf{n} &= S_{K,\sigma} / |\sigma| \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K. \end{aligned}$$

- $\tilde{p}_h$  exists and is unique
- flux of  $\tilde{p}_h$  is given by  $S_{K,\sigma}$ , point or mean value by  $p_K$
- $\tilde{\rho}_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$ , only  $\in H^1(\tilde{T}_h)$  in general
- $-\mathbf{S}\nabla \tilde{p}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) \Rightarrow \operatorname{put} \mathbf{t}_h = -\mathbf{S}\nabla \tilde{p}_h$  in the gen. fram.
- given on  $T_h$ , no need for a dual mesh
- for simplices or rectangular parallelepipeds when S is diagonal: p
   *μ* is a piecewise second-order polynomial

#### Definition (Postprocessed scalar variable $\tilde{p}_h$ )

We define  $\tilde{p}_h$  such that, separately on each  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h) &= \overline{|K|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} S_{K,\sigma}, \\ (1 - \mu_K) (\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_h, 1)_K / |K| + \mu_K \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_h(\mathbf{x}_K) &= \boldsymbol{p}_K, \\ -\mathbf{S} \nabla \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_h|_K \cdot \mathbf{n} &= S_{K,\sigma} / |\sigma| \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K. \end{aligned}$$

- $\tilde{p}_h$  exists and is unique
- flux of  $\tilde{p}_h$  is given by  $S_{K,\sigma}$ , point or mean value by  $p_K$
- $\tilde{\rho}_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$ , only  $\in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  in general
- $-\mathbf{S}\nabla\tilde{\rho}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega) \Rightarrow \operatorname{put} \mathbf{t}_h = -\mathbf{S}\nabla\tilde{\rho}_h$  in the gen. fram.
- given on  $T_h$ , no need for a dual mesh
- for simplices or rectangular parallelepipeds when **S** is diagonal:  $\tilde{p}_h$  is a piecewise second-order polynomial

#### Definition (Postprocessed scalar variable $\tilde{p}_h$ )

We define  $\tilde{p}_h$  such that, separately on each  $K \in T_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p_h) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}} S_{\mathcal{K},\sigma}, \\ (1 - \mu_{\mathcal{K}})(\tilde{p}_h, 1)_{\mathcal{K}}/|\mathcal{K}| + \mu_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{p}_h(\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}}) &= p_{\mathcal{K}}, \\ -\mathbf{S} \nabla \tilde{p}_h|_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot \mathbf{n} &= S_{\mathcal{K},\sigma}/|\sigma| \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}. \end{aligned}$$

- $\tilde{p}_h$  exists and is unique
- flux of  $\tilde{p}_h$  is given by  $S_{K,\sigma}$ , point or mean value by  $p_K$
- $\tilde{\rho}_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$ , only  $\in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  in general
- $-\mathbf{S}\nabla\tilde{p}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega) \Rightarrow \operatorname{put} \mathbf{t}_h = -\mathbf{S}\nabla\tilde{p}_h$  in the gen. fram.
- given on  $T_h$ , no need for a dual mesh
- for simplices or rectangular parallelepipeds when **S** is diagonal:  $\tilde{p}_h$  is a piecewise second-order polynomial

#### Definition (Postprocessed scalar variable $\tilde{p}_h$ )

We define  $\tilde{p}_h$  such that, separately on each  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla \tilde{p}_h) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} S_{\mathcal{K},\sigma}, \\ (1 - \mu_{\mathcal{K}}) (\tilde{p}_h, 1)_{\mathcal{K}} / |\mathcal{K}| + \mu_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{p}_h(\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}}) &= p_{\mathcal{K}}, \\ -\mathbf{S} \nabla \tilde{p}_h|_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot \mathbf{n} &= S_{\mathcal{K},\sigma} / |\sigma| \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}. \end{aligned}$$

- $\tilde{p}_h$  exists and is unique
- flux of  $\tilde{p}_h$  is given by  $S_{K,\sigma}$ , point or mean value by  $p_K$
- $\tilde{\rho}_h \notin H^1(\Omega)$ , only  $\in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  in general
- $-\mathbf{S}\nabla\tilde{\rho}_h \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega) \Rightarrow \operatorname{put} \mathbf{t}_h = -\mathbf{S}\nabla\tilde{\rho}_h$  in the gen. fram.
- given on  $T_h$ , no need for a dual mesh
- for simplices or rectangular parallelepipeds when S is diagonal: p

  h is a piecewise second-order polynomial

A post. estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p\mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ 

### Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

There holds  
$$|||\boldsymbol{p}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{h}||| \leq \left\{\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}(\eta_{\mathrm{R},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{C},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{U},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{RQ},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{N}},K})^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

#### nonconformity estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K} := |||\tilde{p}_h \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{p}_h)||_K$
- $\mathcal{I}_{Os}(\tilde{p}_h)$ : Oswald int. operator (Burman and Ern '07)
- residual estimator

• 
$$\eta_{\mathbf{R},K} := m_K \| f + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}_K \nabla \tilde{p}_h) - \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_h \mathbf{w}) - r \tilde{p}_h \|_K$$
  
•  $m_K^2 := \min \left\{ C_{\mathrm{P}} \frac{h_K^2}{c_{\mathrm{S},K}}, \frac{1}{c_{\mathrm{w},r,K}} \right\}$ 

• 
$$\eta_{\mathrm{C},K} := \min\left\{\frac{\|\nabla \cdot (vw) - \frac{1}{2}v \nabla \cdot w\|_{K} + \|\nabla \cdot (vw)\|_{K}}{\sqrt{c_{w,r,K}}}, \left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{P}}h_{K}^{2} \|\nabla v \cdot w\|_{K}^{2}}{c_{\mathrm{S},K}} + \frac{9\|v \nabla \cdot w\|_{K}^{2}}{4c_{w,r,K}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$$
  
•  $v = \tilde{p}_{h} - \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{p}_{h})$ 

A post. estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p\mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ 

#### Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

There holds  
$$|||\boldsymbol{p}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{h}||| \leq \left\{\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}(\eta_{\mathrm{R},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{C},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{U},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{RQ},K}+\eta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{N}},K})^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

### nonconformity estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K} := |||\tilde{\rho}_h \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{\rho}_h)||_{K}$
- $\mathcal{I}_{Os}(\tilde{p}_h)$ : Oswald int. operator (Burman and Ern '07)
- residual estimator

• 
$$\eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{K}} := m_{\mathrm{K}} \| f + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{K}} \nabla \tilde{p}_h) - \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_h \mathbf{w}) - r \tilde{p}_h \|_{\mathrm{K}}$$

• 
$$m_K^2 := \min\left\{C_{\mathrm{P}}\frac{h_K^2}{c_{\mathbf{S},K}}, \frac{1}{c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}}\right\}$$

• 
$$\eta_{\mathrm{C},K} := \min\left\{\frac{\|\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_{K} + \|\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w})\|_{K}}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}}}, \left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{P}}h_{K}^{2}\|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_{K}^{2}}{c_{\mathrm{S},K}} + \frac{9\|\mathbf{v}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_{K}^{2}}{4c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$$
  
•  $\mathbf{v} = \tilde{p}_{h} - \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{p}_{h})$ 

A post. estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p\mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ 

#### Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

There holds  
$$|||\boldsymbol{p}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{h}||| \leq \left\{\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}(\eta_{\mathrm{R},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{C},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{U},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{RQ},K}+\eta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{N}},K})^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

#### nonconformity estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K} := |||\tilde{p}_h \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{p}_h)||_K$
- $\mathcal{I}_{Os}(\tilde{p}_h)$ : Oswald int. operator (Burman and Ern '07)

### residual estimator

• 
$$\eta_{\mathsf{R},\mathsf{K}} := m_{\mathsf{K}} \| f + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\mathsf{K}} \nabla \tilde{p}_h) - \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_h \mathbf{w}) - r \tilde{p}_h \|_{\mathsf{K}}$$
  
•  $m_{\mathsf{K}}^2 := \min \left\{ C_{\mathsf{P}} \frac{h_{\mathsf{K}}^2}{c_{\mathbf{S},\mathsf{K}}}, \frac{1}{c_{\mathbf{w},r,\mathsf{K}}} \right\}$ 

• 
$$\eta_{C,K} := \min\left\{\frac{\|\nabla \cdot (vw) - \frac{1}{2}v\nabla \cdot w\|_{K} + \|\nabla \cdot (vw)\|_{K}}{\sqrt{c_{w,r,K}}}, \left(\frac{C_{P}h_{K}^{2}\|\nabla \cdot w\|_{K}^{2}}{c_{S,K}} + \frac{9\|v\nabla \cdot w\|_{K}^{2}}{4c_{w,r,K}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$$
  
•  $v = \tilde{p}_{h} - \mathcal{I}_{Os}(\tilde{p}_{h})$ 

A post. estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p\mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ 

#### Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

There holds  
$$|||\boldsymbol{p}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{h}||| \leq \left\{\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{h}}(\eta_{\mathrm{R},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{C},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{U},K}+\eta_{\mathrm{RQ},K}+\eta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{N}},K})^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

#### nonconformity estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K} := |||\tilde{\rho}_h \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{\rho}_h)||_K$
- $\mathcal{I}_{Os}(\tilde{p}_h)$ : Oswald int. operator (Burman and Ern '07)

#### residual estimator

• 
$$\eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{K}} := m_{\mathrm{K}} ||f + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{K}} \nabla \tilde{p}_{h}) - \nabla \cdot (\tilde{p}_{h} \mathbf{w}) - r \tilde{p}_{h}||_{\mathrm{K}}$$
  
•  $m_{\mathrm{K}}^{2} := \min \left\{ C_{\mathrm{P}} \frac{h_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}}{c_{\mathrm{S},\mathrm{K}}}, \frac{1}{c_{\mathrm{w},\mathrm{r},\mathrm{K}}} \right\}$ 

• 
$$\eta_{\mathrm{C},\mathrm{K}} := \min\left\{\frac{\|\nabla \cdot (v\mathbf{w}) - \frac{1}{2}v\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathrm{K}} + \|\nabla \cdot (v\mathbf{w})\|_{\mathrm{K}}}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{w},\mathrm{r},\mathrm{K}}}}, \left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{P}}h_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}\|\nabla v \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}}{c_{\mathrm{S},\mathrm{K}}} + \frac{9\|v\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}}{4c_{\mathbf{w},\mathrm{r},\mathrm{K}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$$
  
•  $v = \tilde{p}_{h} - \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{p}_{h})$ 

A post. estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ 

### upwinding estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{U},\mathrm{K}} := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{K}} \setminus \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\mathrm{N}}} m_{\sigma} \| (W_{\mathrm{K},\sigma} \langle \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}^{\mathrm{\Gamma}}(\tilde{p}_{h}) \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\sigma}) |\sigma|^{-1} \|_{\sigma}$
- $W_{K,\sigma} = p_{\sigma} \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\sigma}$ : weighted-upwind
- $m_{\sigma}$ : function of  $c_{\mathbf{S},K}$ ,  $c_{\mathbf{w},r,K} = (\frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + r)|_{K}$ ,  $d, h_{K}, |\sigma|, |K|$
- all dependencies evaluated explicitly
- reaction quadrature estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{RQ},K} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{\mathrm{w},r,K}}} \| r_K p_K (r \tilde{p}_h, 1)_K |K|^{-1} \|_K$
  - disappears when *r* pw constant and  $\tilde{p}_h$  fixed by mean
- Neumann boundary estimator

• 
$$\eta_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{N}},\mathcal{K}} := 0 + \frac{\sqrt{h_{\mathcal{K}}}}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{K}}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}} \cap \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\mathbb{N}}} \sqrt{C_{\mathbf{I},\mathcal{K},\sigma}} \|u_{\sigma} - u\|_{\sigma}$$

A post. estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ 

### upwinding estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{U},\mathrm{K}} := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{K}} \setminus \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\mathrm{N}}} m_{\sigma} \| (W_{\mathrm{K},\sigma} \langle \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}^{\mathrm{\Gamma}}(\tilde{p}_{h}) \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\sigma}) |\sigma|^{-1} \|_{\sigma}$
- $W_{K,\sigma} = \rho_{\sigma} \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\sigma}$ : weighted-upwind
- $m_{\sigma}$ : function of  $c_{\mathbf{S},K}$ ,  $c_{\mathbf{w},r,K} = (\frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + r)|_{K}$ ,  $d, h_{K}, |\sigma|, |K|$
- all dependencies evaluated explicitly
- reaction quadrature estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{RQ},K} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}}} \| r_K p_K (r \tilde{p}_h, 1)_K |K|^{-1} \|_K$
  - disappears when r pw constant and  $\tilde{p}_h$  fixed by mean
- Neumann boundary estimator

• 
$$\eta_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{N}},\mathcal{K}} := 0 + \frac{\sqrt{h_{\mathcal{K}}}}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{K}}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}} \cap \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\mathbb{N}}} \sqrt{C_{\mathfrak{l},\mathcal{K},\sigma}} \|u_{\sigma} - u\|_{\sigma}$$

A post. estimate for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p\mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ 

### upwinding estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{U},\mathrm{K}} := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{K}} \setminus \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\mathrm{N}}} m_{\sigma} \| (W_{\mathrm{K},\sigma} \langle \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}^{\mathrm{\Gamma}}(\tilde{p}_{h}) \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\sigma}) |\sigma|^{-1} \|_{\sigma}$
- $W_{K,\sigma} = p_{\sigma} \langle \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\sigma}$ : weighted-upwind
- $m_{\sigma}$ : function of  $c_{\mathbf{S},K}$ ,  $c_{\mathbf{w},r,K} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} + r\right)|_{K}$ ,  $d, h_{K}, |\sigma|, |K|$
- all dependencies evaluated explicitly
- reaction quadrature estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{RQ},K} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}}} \| r_K p_K (r \tilde{p}_h, 1)_K |K|^{-1} \|_K$
  - disappears when r pw constant and  $\tilde{p}_h$  fixed by mean
- Neumann boundary estimator

• 
$$\eta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{N}},K} := \mathbf{0} + \frac{\sqrt{h_{K}}}{\sqrt{c_{\mathbf{S},K}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K} \cap \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\mathrm{N}}} \sqrt{C_{\mathbf{t},K,\sigma}} \|u_{\sigma} - u\|_{\sigma}$$

Loc. efficiency for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ 

Theorem (Local efficiency of the residual estimator)

There holds  $\eta_{R,K} \leq$ 

$$|||p - \tilde{p}_{h}|||_{\mathcal{K}} C\left\{\sqrt{\frac{C_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{K}}}{c_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{K}}}}\max\left\{1,\frac{C_{\mathbf{w},r,\mathcal{K}}}{c_{\mathbf{w},r,\mathcal{K}}}\right\} + \min\left\{\operatorname{Pe}_{\mathcal{K}},\sqrt{\frac{C_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{K}}}{c_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{K}}}}\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}\right\}\right\}.$$

 residual estimator is locally efficient (lower bound for error on K) and semi-robust (C<sub>eff,K</sub> depends on local anisotropies and affinely on Pe<sub>K</sub>)

•  $C_{\mathrm{eff},K}$ :

- *C* independent of  $h_K$ , **S**, **w**, and *r*
- no dependency on inhomogeneities
- $\frac{C_{w,r,K}}{C_{w,r,K}} \leq 2$  for *r* nonnegative
- $C_{\text{eff},K}$  depends affinely on  $\text{Pe}_K$
- *ρ*<sub>K</sub> := |w|<sub>K</sub>|/<sub>√C<sub>w,r,K</sub> prevents C<sub>eff,K</sub> from exploding in convection-dominated cases on rough grids

  </sub>

M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

Loc. efficiency for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ 

Theorem (Local efficiency of the residual estimator)

There holds  $\eta_{R,K} \leq$ 

$$|||p - \tilde{p}_{h}|||_{\mathcal{K}} C\left\{\sqrt{\frac{C_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{K}}}{c_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{K}}}}\max\left\{1,\frac{C_{\mathbf{w},r,\mathcal{K}}}{c_{\mathbf{w},r,\mathcal{K}}}\right\} + \min\left\{\operatorname{Pe}_{\mathcal{K}},\sqrt{\frac{C_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{K}}}{c_{\mathbf{S},\mathcal{K}}}}\varrho_{\mathcal{K}}\right\}\right\}.$$

- residual estimator is locally efficient (lower bound for error on *K*) and semi-robust (C<sub>eff,K</sub> depends on local anisotropies and affinely on Pe<sub>K</sub>)
- *C*<sub>eff,*K*</sub>:
  - C independent of  $h_K$ , S, w, and r
  - no dependency on inhomogeneities
  - $\frac{C_{\mathbf{w},r,K}}{c_{\mathbf{w},r,K}} \leq 2$  for *r* nonnegative
  - $C_{\text{eff},K}$  depends affinely on  $\text{Pe}_K$
  - *ρ*<sub>K</sub> := |w|<sub>K</sub>|/<sub>√C<sub>w,r,K</sub> prevents C<sub>eff,K</sub> from exploding in convection-dominated cases on rough grids

    </sub>

Loc. efficiency for  $-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p\mathbf{w}) + rp = f$ 

Theorem (Local efficiency of the nonconformity and convection estimators)

There holds

$$\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K}^2 + \eta_{\mathrm{C},K}^2 \leq \alpha \sum_{L;L \cap K \neq \emptyset} |||\boldsymbol{p} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_h|||_L^2 + \beta \inf_{\boldsymbol{s}_h \in \mathbb{P}_2(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)} \sum_{L;L \cap K \neq \emptyset} ||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{s}_h||_L^2.$$

 nonconformity and convection estimators are locally efficient (up to higher-order terms if  $c_{w,r,K} \neq 0$ ) and semi-robust ( $C_{\text{eff},K}$  depends on local inhomogeneities and anisotropies and affinely on  $Pe_{\kappa}$ )

•  $C_{\text{eff},K}$ :

- depends on maximal ratio of inhomogeneities around K
- depends on anisotropy in each L around K by  $\frac{C_{s,L}}{2}$
- $C_{\rm eff \ K}$  depends affinely on  ${\rm Pe}_{K}$
- again min{Pe<sub>L</sub>,  $\rho_L$ } in each L around K prevents  $C_{\text{eff},K}$  from

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C

Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

\_oc. efficiency for 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) + \nabla \cdot (p \mathbf{w}) + rp = f$$

Theorem (Local efficiency of the nonconformity and convection estimators)

There holds

$$\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K}^2 + \eta_{\mathrm{C},K}^2 \leq \alpha \sum_{L;L \cap K \neq \emptyset} |||\boldsymbol{p} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_h|||_L^2 + \beta \inf_{\boldsymbol{s}_h \in \mathbb{P}_2(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)} \sum_{L;L \cap K \neq \emptyset} ||\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{s}_h||_L^2.$$

- nonconformity and convection estimators are locally efficient (up to higher-order terms if c<sub>w,r,K</sub> ≠ 0) and semi-robust (C<sub>eff,K</sub> depends on local inhomogeneities and anisotropies and affinely on Pe<sub>K</sub>)
- *C*<sub>eff,*K*</sub>:
  - depends on maximal ratio of inhomogeneities around K
  - depends on anisotropy in each L around K by  $\frac{C_{S,L}}{c_{S,L}}$
  - $C_{\text{eff},K}$  depends affinely on  $\text{Pe}_K$
  - again min{Pe<sub>L</sub>, *ρ*<sub>L</sub>} in each *L* around *K* prevents C<sub>eff,K</sub> from exploding in convection-dominated cases on rough grids

## Discontinuous diffusion tensor and finite volumes

consider the pure diffusion equation

$$-\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S} \nabla p) = 0$$
 in  $\Omega = (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ 

• discontinuous and inhomogeneous S, two cases:



analytical solution: singularity at the origin

$$p(r,\theta)|_{\Omega_i} = r^{\alpha}(a_i \sin(\alpha \theta) + b_i \cos(\alpha \theta))$$

- $(r, \theta)$  polar coordinates in  $\Omega$
- $a_i, b_i$  constants depending on  $\Omega_i$
- $\alpha$  regularity of the solution

# Analytical solutions



# Error distribution on an adaptively refined mesh, case 1



# Approximate solution and the corresponding adaptively refined mesh, case 2



# Estimated and actual error in uniformly/adaptively refined meshes



M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

# Effectivity indices in uniformly/adaptively refined meshes


## Convection-dominated problem

consider the convection-diffusion-reaction equation

$$-\varepsilon \bigtriangleup p + \nabla \cdot (p(0,1)) + p = f$$
 in  $\Omega = (0,1) \times (0,1)$ 

analytical solution: layer of width a

$$p(x,y) = 0.5\left(1-\tanh\left(rac{0.5-x}{a}
ight)
ight)$$

consider

 unstructured grid of 46 elements given, uniformly/adaptively refined

## **Analytical solutions**



1 10 & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs Error distribution on a uniformly refined mesh,  $\varepsilon = 1$ , a = 0.5



# Estimated and actual error and the effectivity index, $\varepsilon = 1, a = 0.5$



I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

## Error distribution on a uniformly refined mesh, $\varepsilon = 10^{-2}, a = 0.05$



1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

## Approximate solution and the corresponding adaptively refined mesh, $\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$ , a = 0.02



I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

## Estimated and actual error in uniformly/adaptively refined meshes



M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

I 1D & FEs Pure dif. & conf. CRD & nonc. Compl. C Optimal framework and a first estimate DGs FVs

## Effectivity indices in uniformly/adaptively refined meshes



M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

## Outline

- Introduction
- Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- 3 Pure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

4 Convection–reaction–diffusion and nonconforming methods

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods
- Complements
  - Conclusions and future work

## A reaction-diffusion problem

#### Problem

$$-\triangle p + rp = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  
$$p = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

#### Assumptions

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2, 3, is a polygonal domain
- $r \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  such that for each  $K \in T_h$ ,  $0 \le c_{r,K} \le r$ , a.e. in K

## Bilinear form, energy norm, and weak solution

#### Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\boldsymbol{p}, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p}, \varphi) := (\nabla \boldsymbol{p}, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega} + (r^{1/2} \boldsymbol{p}, r^{1/2} \varphi)_{\Omega}.$$

#### Definition (Energy norm)

The associated energy norm for  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is given by  $|||\varphi|||_{\Omega}^2 := \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \varphi)$ .

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

 $\mathcal{B}(p,\varphi) = (f,\varphi)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega).$ 

## Bilinear form, energy norm, and weak solution

#### Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\boldsymbol{p}, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p}, \varphi) := (\nabla \boldsymbol{p}, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega} + (r^{1/2} \boldsymbol{p}, r^{1/2} \varphi)_{\Omega}.$$

#### Definition (Energy norm)

The associated energy norm for  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is given by  $|||\varphi|||_{\Omega}^2 := \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \varphi).$ 

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\mathcal{B}(p,\varphi) = (f,\varphi)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega).$$

## Bilinear form, energy norm, and weak solution

#### Definition (Bilinear form $\mathcal{B}$ )

We define a bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $p, \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{p},\varphi) := (\nabla \boldsymbol{p}, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega} + (r^{1/2}\boldsymbol{p}, r^{1/2}\varphi)_{\Omega}.$$

#### Definition (Energy norm)

The associated energy norm for  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is given by  $|||\varphi|||_{\Omega}^2 := \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \varphi).$ 

#### Definition (Weak solution)

Weak solution:  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \varphi) = (f, \varphi)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega).$$

## Residual and diffusive flux estimators

Define:

#### residual estimator

$$\eta_{\mathrm{R},D} := m_D \|f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h - r p_h\|_D$$

#### diffusive flux estimator

$$\eta_{\mathrm{DF},\mathcal{D}} := \min\left\{\eta_{\mathit{DF},\mathcal{D}}^{(1)},\eta_{\mathit{DF},\mathcal{D}}^{(2)}\right\},\label{eq:eq:elements}$$

#### where

$$\eta_{\mathrm{DF},D}^{(1)} := \|\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \mathbf{t}_h\|_D$$
  
$$\eta_{\mathrm{DF},D}^{(2)} := \left\{ \sum_{K \in \mathcal{S}_D} \left( m_K \| \triangle \boldsymbol{p}_h + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h \|_K + \tilde{m}_K^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K \cap \mathcal{G}_h^{\mathrm{int}}} C_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \| (\nabla \boldsymbol{p}_h + \mathbf{t}_h) \cdot \mathbf{n} \|_\sigma \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

## Robust a posteriori error estimates for $-\triangle p + rp = f$

#### Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

There holds

$$\||\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_{h}|\|_{\Omega} \leq \left\{\sum_{\boldsymbol{D}\in\mathcal{D}_{h}}(\eta_{\mathrm{R},\boldsymbol{D}}+\eta_{\mathrm{DF},\boldsymbol{D}})^{2}
ight\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Theorem (Local efficiency)

There holds

 $\eta_{\mathrm{R},D} + \eta_{\mathrm{DF},D} \leq C ||| \boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h |||_{\mathcal{T}_{V_D}},$ 

where C depends only on d,  $\kappa_T$ , and m.

**Properties** 

- guaranteed upper bound
- Iocal and global efficiency
- o robustness
- negligible evaluation cost

## Robust a posteriori error estimates for $-\triangle p + rp = f$

#### Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

There holds

$$\||\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}_{h}\||_{\Omega} \leq \left\{\sum_{\boldsymbol{D}\in\mathcal{D}_{h}}(\eta_{\mathrm{R},\boldsymbol{D}}+\eta_{\mathrm{DF},\boldsymbol{D}})^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

#### Theorem (Local efficiency)

There holds

$$\eta_{\mathrm{R},D} + \eta_{\mathrm{DF},D} \leq C ||| \boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}_h |||_{\mathcal{T}_{V_D}},$$

where C depends only on d,  $\kappa_T$ , and m.

**Properties** 

- guaranteed upper bound
- Iocal and global efficiency
- o robustness
- negligible evaluation cost

## Robust a posteriori error estimates for $-\triangle p + rp = f$

#### Theorem (A posteriori error estimate)

There holds

$$\||\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{p}_{h}\||_{\Omega} \leq \left\{\sum_{\boldsymbol{D}\in\mathcal{D}_{h}}(\eta_{\mathrm{R},\boldsymbol{D}}+\eta_{\mathrm{DF},\boldsymbol{D}})^{2}\right\}^{\overline{2}}$$

#### Theorem (Local efficiency)

There holds

$$\eta_{\mathrm{R},D} + \eta_{\mathrm{DF},D} \leq C ||| \boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_h |||_{\mathcal{T}_{V_D}},$$

where C depends only on d,  $\kappa_T$ , and m.

### **Properties**

- guaranteed upper bound
- Iocal and global efficiency
- o robustness
- negligible evaluation cost

## Problem and exact solution



## Effectivity indices



## Estimated and actual errors, r = 100



## Estimated and actual errors, $r = 10^{12}$



### A model pure diffusion problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla\cdot(\mathbf{S}\nabla p) &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

- at some point, we shall solve  $\mathbb{A}X = B$
- we only solve it inexactly,  $\mathbb{A}X^* \approx B$
- we know the algebraic residual,  $R := B \mathbb{A}X^*$

#### A model pure diffusion problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla\cdot(\mathbf{S}\nabla p) &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

- at some point, we shall solve  $\mathbb{A}X = B$
- we only solve it inexactly,  $\mathbb{A}X^* \approx B$
- we know the algebraic residual,  $R := B \mathbb{A}X^*$

#### A model pure diffusion problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla\cdot(\mathbf{S}\nabla p) &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

- at some point, we shall solve  $\mathbb{A}X = B$
- we only solve it inexactly,  $\mathbb{A}X^* \approx B$
- we know the algebraic residual,  $R := B AX^*$

#### A model pure diffusion problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla\cdot(\mathbf{S}\nabla p) &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

- at some point, we shall solve  $\mathbb{A}X = B$
- we only solve it inexactly,  $\mathbb{A}X^* \approx B$
- we know the algebraic residual,  $R := B \mathbb{A}X^*$

Theorem (A posteriori error estimate including inexact linear systems solution error, cell-centered FVs or MFEs)

There holds  
$$|||\boldsymbol{p} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_h^*||| \leq \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\mathrm{NC},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\mathrm{R},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\mathrm{AE},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- nonconformity estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{NC},K} := |||\tilde{p}_h^* \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{p}_h^*)|||_K$
- residual estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{K}} := m_{\mathrm{K}} \| f + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{K}} \nabla \tilde{p}_{h}^{*}) \|_{\mathrm{K}}$

• 
$$m_K^2 := C_{\mathrm{P}} \frac{m_K}{c_{\mathrm{s},K}}$$

• algebraic error estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{AE},K} := \|\mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_h\|_K$
- $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{RTN}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  is such that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h|_K = \frac{R_K}{|K|}$
- *R* is the residual vector

Theorem (A posteriori error estimate including inexact linear systems solution error, cell-centered FVs or MFEs)

There holds  
$$|||\boldsymbol{p} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_h^*||| \leq \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\text{NC},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\text{R},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\text{AE},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

### nonconformity estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{NC},\mathcal{K}} := |||\tilde{p}_h^* \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{p}_h^*)|||_{\mathcal{K}}$
- residual estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{R},K} := m_K \|f + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}_K \nabla \tilde{p}_h^*)\|_K$

• 
$$m_K^2 := C_{\mathrm{P}} \frac{h_K^2}{c_{\mathbf{s},K}}$$

• algebraic error estimator

- $\eta_{\mathrm{AE},K} := \|\mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_h\|_K$
- $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{RTN}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  is such that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h|_{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{R_{\mathcal{K}}}{|\mathcal{K}|}$
- *R* is the residual vector

Theorem (A posteriori error estimate including inexact linear systems solution error, cell-centered FVs or MFEs)

There holds  
$$|||\boldsymbol{p} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_h^*||| \le \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\text{NC},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\text{R},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\text{AE},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- nonconformity estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{NC},\mathcal{K}} := |||\tilde{p}_h^* \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{p}_h^*)|||_{\mathcal{K}}$
- residual estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{K}} := m_{\mathrm{K}} \| f + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{K}} \nabla \tilde{p}_{h}^{*}) \|_{\mathrm{K}}$

• 
$$m_K^2 := C_P \frac{h_K^2}{c_{\mathbf{s},K}}$$

- algebraic error estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{AE},K} := \|\mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_h\|_K$
  - $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{RTN}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  is such that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h|_K = \frac{R_K}{|K|}$
  - *R* is the residual vector

Theorem (A posteriori error estimate including inexact linear systems solution error, cell-centered FVs or MFEs)

There holds  
$$|||\boldsymbol{p} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_h^*||| \le \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\text{NC},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\text{R},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta_{\text{AE},K}^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- nonconformity estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{NC},\mathcal{K}} := |||\tilde{p}_h^* \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Os}}(\tilde{p}_h^*)|||_{\mathcal{K}}$
- residual estimator
  - $\eta_{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{K}} := m_{\mathrm{K}} \| f + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{K}} \nabla \tilde{p}_{h}^{*}) \|_{\mathrm{K}}$

• 
$$m_K^2 := C_{\mathrm{P}} \frac{h_K^2}{c_{\mathbf{s},K}}$$

- algebraic error estimator
  - $\eta_{\text{AE},K} := \|\mathbf{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{t}_h\|_K$
  - $\mathbf{t}_h \in \mathbf{RTN}(\mathcal{T}_h)$  is such that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}_h|_{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{R_{\mathcal{K}}}{|\mathcal{K}|}$
  - R is the residual vector

# Finite volume estimates including inexact linear systems solution



M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs

## Time-dependent and nonlinear problems

### **Time-dependent problems**

VERFÜRTH, R., A posteriori error estimates for finite element discretizations of the heat equation, *Calcolo* **40** (2003), 195–212.

- divide the estimate into time and space estimators
- use the time estimator to refine the time step
- use the space estimator to refine the space mesh
- mesh refinement and coarsening ("moving meshes")

**Nonlinear problems** 

KIM, K. Y., A posteriori error estimators for locally conservative methods of nonlinear elliptic problems, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **57** (2007), 1065–1080.

- a posteriori error estimates for monotone elliptic operators
- applies directly to all our results

## Time-dependent and nonlinear problems

## **Time-dependent problems**

VERFÜRTH, R., A posteriori error estimates for finite element discretizations of the heat equation, *Calcolo* **40** (2003), 195–212.

- divide the estimate into time and space estimators
- use the time estimator to refine the time step
- use the space estimator to refine the space mesh
- mesh refinement and coarsening ("moving meshes")

#### **Nonlinear problems**

KIM, K. Y., A posteriori error estimators for locally conservative methods of nonlinear elliptic problems, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **57** (2007), 1065–1080.

- a posteriori error estimates for monotone elliptic operators
- applies directly to all our results

## Outline

- Introduction
- Laplacian and finite elements in one space dimension
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
- 3 Pure diffusion and conforming methods
  - Classical a posteriori estimates
  - Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
  - Optimal a posteriori error estimate
  - Remarks on finite elements and finite volumes
  - Efficiency of the a posteriori error estimate

4 Convection–reaction–diffusion and nonconforming methods

- Optimal abstract framework and a first estimate
- Estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods
- Estimates for finite volume methods
- Complements
- Conclusions and future work

## Comments on the estimates and their efficiency

#### **General comments**

- $p \in H^1(\Omega)$ , no additional regularity
- no convexity of  $\Omega$  needed
- no saturation assumption
- no Helmholtz decomposition
- no shape-regularity needed for the upper bounds (only for the efficiency proofs)
- polynomial degree-independent upper bound
- no "monotonicity" hypothesis on inhomogeneities distribution
- the only important tool: optimal Poincaré–Friedrichs and trace inequalities
- holds from diffusion to convection-diffusion-reaction cases

## Essentials of the estimates

#### Essentials of the estimates

- nonconformity estimate: compare the approximate solution *p<sub>h</sub>* to a *H*<sup>1</sup>(Ω)-conforming potential *s<sub>h</sub>*
- diffusive flux estimate: compare the flux of the approximate solution −S∇p<sub>h</sub> to a H(div, Ω)-conforming flux t<sub>h</sub>
- evaluate the residue for t<sub>h</sub>
- for optimality, t<sub>h</sub> has to be locally conservative
- in conforming methods (*p<sub>h</sub>* ∈ *H*<sup>1</sup>(Ω)), there is no nonconformity estimate
- in flux-conforming methods (−S∇p<sub>h</sub> ∈ H(div, Ω)), there is no diffusive flux estimate
- additional nonsymmetric term for convection
- use problem-dependent energy norms

## Conclusions and future work

#### Conclusions

- guaranteed, locally efficient, and robust (in some cases) a posteriori error estimates
- directly and locally computable
- almost asymptotically exact
- optimal framework (exact and robust)
- works for all major numerical schemes
- based on local conservativity

Future work

- asymptotic exactness
- nonlinear (degenerate) cases
- extensions to other types of problems (Stokes, Maxwell)
### Conclusions and future work

### Conclusions

- guaranteed, locally efficient, and robust (in some cases) a posteriori error estimates
- directly and locally computable
- almost asymptotically exact
- optimal framework (exact and robust)
- works for all major numerical schemes
- based on local conservativity

### Future work

- asymptotic exactness
- nonlinear (degenerate) cases
- extensions to other types of problems (Stokes, Maxwell)

## Bibliography 1

#### Papers

- VOHRALíκ M., A posteriori error estimates for lowest-order mixed finite element discretizations of convection–diffusion–reaction equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 45 (2007), 1570–1599.
- VOHRALÍK M., Residual flux-based a posteriori error estimates for finite volume discretizations of inhomogeneous, anisotropic, and convection-dominated problems, submitted to *Numer. Math.*
- ERN A., STEPHANSEN, A. F., VOHRALÍK M., Improved energy norm a posteriori error estimation based on flux reconstruction for discontinuous Galerkin methods, submitted to *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*
- VOHRALÍK M., A posteriori error estimation in the finite element method based on its local conservativity and using local minimization, submitted to *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris., Ser. I.*

### **Bibliography 2**

- VOHRALÍK M., Guaranteed and fully robust a posteriori error estimates for conforming discretizations of diffusion problems with discontinuous coefficients, submitted to *Math. Comp.*
- CHEDDADI I., FUČÍK R., PRIETO M. I., VOHRALÍK M., Computable a posteriori error estimates in the finite element method based on its local conservativity: improvements using local minimization, submitted to ESAIM: Proc.
- CHEDDADI I., FUČÍK R., PRIETO M. I., VOHRALÍK M., Guaranteed and robust a posteriori error estimates for singularly-perturbed reaction-diffusion problems, to be submitted to M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.
- JIRÁNEK P., STRAKOŠ Z., VOHRALÍK M., A posteriori estimates including iterative solvers error: guaranteed upper bound for the discretization error and stopping criterion, to be submitted to SIAM J. Sci. Comput.

# Thank you for your attention!

M. Vohralík A posteriori error estimates in numerical approximation of PDEs