Stable approximation of Helmholtz solutions using evanescent plane waves: an application to conforming Trefftz methods

#### Nicola Galante

#### Alpines, Inria Paris - LJLL, Sorbonne University

HNABEM Workshop — Brunel University — January, 9

Joint work with: Bruno Després (Inria – LJLL), Andrea Moiola (University of Pavia), Emile Parolin (Inria – LJLL)





#### Helmholtz equation and Trefftz methods

Let u be a solution of the Helmholtz equation (wavenumber  $\kappa > 0$ ):

 $-\Delta \mathbf{u} - \kappa^2 \mathbf{u} = 0,$  in a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d \in \{2, 3\}$ 

**Goal:** Computing approximation of  $\boldsymbol{u}$  using Trefftz methods  $\boldsymbol{u} \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n \phi_n$ , where  $-\Delta \phi_n - \kappa^2 \phi_n = 0$  (locally)

#### Helmholtz equation and Trefftz methods

Let  $\underline{u}$  be a solution of the Helmholtz equation (wavenumber  $\kappa > 0$ ):

 $-\Delta \underline{u} - \kappa^2 \underline{u} = 0, \qquad \text{in a bounded domain } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d \in \{2,3\}$ 

**Goal**: Computing approximation of u using Trefftz methods  $u \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n \phi_n$ , where  $-\Delta \phi_n - \kappa^2 \phi_n = 0$  (locally)

#### Strengths:

▶ Spectral accuracy ▶ Many formulations (LS, TDG, UWVF)

#### Weaknesses:

- ▶ Limited to piecewise-constant coefficients & homogeneous PDEs
- High numerical instability from redundancy in approximation sets, e.g. propagative plane waves, convergence stalls in finite precision

- ▶ **Propagative** plane waves (PPWs)
- **Evanescent** plane waves (EPWs)
- ▶ EPW-Trefftz Continuous Galerkin Methods



Propagative plane wave (defined in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ )  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ , where  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} = 1$ 

• Exact solution of  $(-\Delta - \kappa^2) \mathbf{u} = 0$ , since  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} = 1$ 

Simple parametrization of propagation direction  $\mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ :

 $\blacktriangleright \ \theta \in \Theta := [0, 2\pi) \text{ in } 2D \qquad \blacktriangleright \ \theta \in \Theta := [0, 2\pi) \times [0, \pi] \text{ in } 3D$ 

► Easy to manipulate: closed-form integration on flat submanifold
► Simple discretization: evenly-distributed d(θ<sub>n</sub>) ∈ S<sup>d-1</sup>

$$u(\mathbf{x}) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$



Propagative plane wave (defined in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ )  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ , where  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} = 1$ 

• Exact solution of  $(-\Delta - \kappa^2) \mathbf{u} = 0$ , since  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} = 1$ 

Simple parametrization of propagation direction  $\mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ :

 $\blacktriangleright \ \theta \in \Theta := [0, 2\pi) \text{ in } 2D \qquad \blacktriangleright \ \theta \in \Theta := [0, 2\pi) \times [0, \pi] \text{ in } 3D$ 

▶ Easy to manipulate: closed-form integration on flat submanifold

Simple discretization: evenly-distributed  $\mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ 

$$u(\mathbf{x}) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

Approximation results: better rates than polynomial w.r.t # DOFs

- ▶ *h*-estimates: Taylor expansions [Cessenat, Després 1998]
- hp-estimates: Vekua theory, wavenumber-explicit [Melenk 1995], [Moiola, Hiptmair, Perugia 2011]



Propagative plane wave (defined in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ )  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ , where  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} = 1$ 

• Exact solution of  $(-\Delta - \kappa^2) \mathbf{u} = 0$ , since  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} = 1$ 

Simple parametrization of propagation direction  $\mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ :

 $\blacktriangleright \ \theta \in \Theta := [0, 2\pi) \text{ in } 2D \qquad \blacktriangleright \ \theta \in \Theta := [0, 2\pi) \times [0, \pi] \text{ in } 3D$ 

Easy to manipulate: closed-form integration on flat submanifold
Simple discretization: evenly-distributed d(θ<sub>n</sub>) ∈ S<sup>d-1</sup>

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} \xi_n e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

In practice (finite-precision arithmetic)  $\rightarrow$  convergence stagnates:

- ▶ Redundant approximation set if  $\mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \cdot \mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_m) \approx 1$  for  $n \neq m$
- ▶ Ill-conditioned linear system [Hiptmair, Moiola, Perugia 2016]
- ▶ Requires regularization [Barucq, Bendali, Diaz, Tordeux 2021]









0.1

0

-0.1



















#### Continuous superposition of PPWs

For a bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$ , let  $T_{\mathbf{P}}: L^2(\mathbf{\Theta}) \to H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$(T_{\mathrm{P}}v)(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\Theta} v(\boldsymbol{\theta}) e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\cdot\mathbf{x}} \mathrm{d}\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

- ►  $T_{\rm P}$  is bounded, and  $u = T_{\rm P}v$  is an Helmholtz solution in  $\Omega$  called Herglotz function [Colton, Kress 2013]
- ►  $T_{\rm P}$  has  $H^1$ -dense image in the Helmholtz space in  $\Omega$  [Weck 2004]
- $\blacktriangleright$  T<sub>P</sub> is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator  $\implies$  not boundedly invertible

# Continuous superposition of PPWs

For a bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$ , let  $T_{\mathbf{P}}: L^2(\mathbf{\Theta}) \to H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$(T_{\mathrm{P}}v)(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\Theta} v(\boldsymbol{\theta}) e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{d}\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

- ►  $T_{\rm P}$  is bounded, and  $u = T_{\rm P}v$  is an Helmholtz solution in  $\Omega$  called Herglotz function [Colton, Kress 2013]
- ▶  $T_{\rm P}$  has  $H^1$ -dense image in the Helmholtz space in  $\Omega$  [Weck 2004]
- $\blacktriangleright$  T<sub>P</sub> is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator  $\implies$  not boundedly invertible

[Parolin, Huybrechs, Moiola 2023] Any Helmholtz solution in  $\Omega$ not in the range of  $T_{\rm P}$  can be arbitrarily well approximated by PPWs, but with **unbounded coefficients** (asymptotically)

# Continuous superposition of PPWs

For a bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$ , let  $T_{\mathbf{P}}: L^2(\mathbf{\Theta}) \to H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$(T_{\mathrm{P}}v)(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\Theta} v(\boldsymbol{\theta}) e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{d}\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$$

- ►  $T_{\rm P}$  is bounded, and  $u = T_{\rm P}v$  is an Helmholtz solution in  $\Omega$  called Herglotz function [Colton, Kress 2013]
- ▶  $T_{\rm P}$  has  $H^1$ -dense image in the Helmholtz space in  $\Omega$  [Weck 2004]
- $\blacktriangleright$  T<sub>P</sub> is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator  $\implies$  not boundedly invertible

[Parolin, Huybrechs, Moiola 2023] Any Helmholtz solution in  $\Omega$ not in the range of  $T_{\rm P}$  can be arbitrarily well approximated by PPWs, but with **unbounded coefficients** (asymptotically)

[Adcock, Huybrechs 2020] Ill-conditioning can be solved, provided accurate approximations with **bounded coefficients** exist Evanescent plane waves (EPWs)

# Evanescent plane waves (EPWs)



Evanescent plane wave (defined in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ )  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ , where  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ ,  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} = 1$ 

• Complex-valued direction vector  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ :

- Propagation direction =  $\Re(\mathbf{d})$
- Evanescence direction =  $\Im(\mathbf{d})$
- Still exact solution of  $(-\Delta \kappa^2) \mathbf{u} = 0$ , since  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} = 1$
- ▶ Still easy and cheap to evaluate, differentiate, integrate, etc.
- ▶ Localization effect in a bounded domain: requires normalization

#### EPW direction parametrization

Evanescent plane wave directions  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^d$  can be parametrized as

 $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{y}) = \cosh(\zeta) \mathbf{d}^{\parallel}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + i \sinh(\zeta) \mathbf{d}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{\perp}(\varphi), \qquad \mathbf{y} := (\zeta, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \varphi) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{\Theta} \times \boldsymbol{\Phi}$ 

- ► Decay strength  $|\Im(\mathbf{d})|$ parametrized by  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^+$
- ▶ Propagation direction  $\mathbf{d}^{\parallel}$ parametrized by  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}$
- Evanescence direction  $\mathbf{d}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{\perp}$ parametrized by  $\varphi \in \Phi$ ,

$$\Phi := \begin{cases} \{\pm 1\} & \text{in } 2\mathbf{D} \\ [0, 2\pi) & \text{in } 3\mathbf{D} \end{cases}$$

▶ PPWs recovered for  $\zeta = 0$ 



#### Continuous superposition of EPWs

If  $\Omega$  is a disk in 2D or a ball in 3D, let  $T_{\rm E}: L^2_{w^2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Theta \times \Phi) \to H^1(\Omega)$ 

$$(T_{\mathrm{E}}v)(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\Theta} \int_{\Phi} v(\zeta, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \varphi) e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\zeta, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \varphi) \cdot \mathbf{x}} w^2(\zeta) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\varphi) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathrm{d}\zeta$$

[Parolin, Huybrechs, Moiola 2023] and [G., Moiola, Parolin 2024] provide a weight w such that  $T_{\rm E}$  is boundedly invertible, namely

 $\forall \mathbf{u}$  Helmholtz solution,  $v = T_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \qquad \|v\|_{L^{2}_{uu^{2}}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{1}}$ 

#### Continuous superposition of EPWs

If  $\Omega$  is a disk in 2D or a ball in 3D, let  $T_{\rm E}: L^2_{w^2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Theta \times \Phi) \to H^1(\Omega)$ 

$$(T_{\mathrm{E}}v)(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\Theta} \int_{\Phi} v(\zeta, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \varphi) e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\zeta, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \varphi) \cdot \mathbf{x}} w^2(\zeta) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\varphi) \mathrm{d}\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathrm{d}\zeta$$

[Parolin, Huybrechs, Moiola 2023] and [G., Moiola, Parolin 2024] provide a weight w such that  $T_{\rm E}$  is boundedly invertible, namely

 $\forall \mathbf{u}$  Helmholtz solution,  $v = T_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \qquad \|v\|_{L^{2}_{uu^{2}}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{1}}$ 

In PPW setting, the operator  $T_{\rm P}: L^2(\Theta) \to H^1(\Omega)$  is compact with  $H^1$ -dense image in the Helmholtz solution space in  $\Omega$ , thus

$$\forall \boldsymbol{u} \notin \operatorname{range} T_{\mathrm{P}} \quad \exists (\boldsymbol{v}_n)_n \subset L^2(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) : \quad \begin{cases} \|\boldsymbol{u} - T_{\mathrm{P}} \boldsymbol{v}_n\|_{H^1} \to 0\\ \|\boldsymbol{v}_n\|_{L^2} \to +\infty \end{cases}$$



























#### EPW approximation sets and Trefftz methods

▶ In general, it is difficult to construct EPW discrete approximation sets

$$\left\{ \mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{y}_n)\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right\}_{n=1}^N, \qquad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{y}_n = (\zeta_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \varphi_n)$$

#### EPW approximation sets and Trefftz methods

▶ In general, it is difficult to construct EPW discrete approximation sets

$$\left\{ \mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{y}_n) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right\}_{n=1}^N, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{y}_n = (\zeta_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \varphi_n)$$

Exact integral representation available for disk/ball

- [Parolin, Huybrechs, Moiola 2023] use a cubature rule based on optimal sampling in [Cohen, Migliorati 2017]
- Apply the recipe to the circumscribed disk/ball of each cell in Trefftz Discontinuous Galerkin methods



# EPW approximation sets and Trefftz methods

▶ In general, it is difficult to construct EPW discrete approximation sets

$$\left\{ \mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{y}_n) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right\}_{n=1}^N, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{y}_n = (\zeta_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n, \varphi_n)$$

- Exact integral representation available for disk/ball
- [Parolin, Huybrechs, Moiola 2023] use a cubature rule based on optimal sampling in [Cohen, Migliorati 2017]
- Apply the recipe to the circumscribed disk/ball of each cell in Trefftz Discontinuous Galerkin methods



- ▶ Different recipe can be built for rectangular geometry
- ► The approximation set is explicit and easy to construct
- Applying the recipe to each rectangle enables the construction of Trefftz Continuous Galerkin methods



#### EPW-Trefftz Continuous Galerkin Methods

#### Rectangular cell symmetries

Let K be a rectangle and  $\phi(\mathbf{y})$  a normalized EPW centered in K



**Goal:** Construct a family of (linear combinations of) EPWs whose trace forms a  $L^2(\partial K)$  Hilbert basis. To achieve this, we exploit K's symmetries

#### Rectangular cell symmetries

Let K be a rectangle and  $\phi(\mathbf{y})$  a normalized EPW centered in K



**Goal:** Construct a family of (linear combinations of) EPWs whose trace forms a  $L^2(\partial K)$  Hilbert basis. To achieve this, we exploit K's symmetries

Let S<sub>i</sub> denote the reflection operator that flips the *i*-th coordinate
For any **j** = (j<sub>1</sub>, j<sub>2</sub>) ∈ {0,1}<sup>2</sup> we define the orthogonal projections Π<sub>j</sub>



#### Rectangular cell symmetries

Let K be a rectangle and  $\phi(\mathbf{y})$  a normalized EPW centered in K



**Goal:** Construct a family of (linear combinations of) EPWs whose trace forms a  $L^2(\partial K)$  Hilbert basis. To achieve this, we exploit K's symmetries

Let S<sub>i</sub> denote the reflection operator that flips the *i*-th coordinate
For any j = (j<sub>1</sub>, j<sub>2</sub>) ∈ {0,1}<sup>2</sup> we define the orthogonal projections Π<sub>j</sub>



▶  $\Pi_{\mathbf{j}}\phi(\mathbf{y})$  is a linear combination of EPWs  $\implies$  solves Helmholtz

#### An orthogonal EPW basis








































**Goal**: Compactly supported  $H^1$ -conforming basis

**Goal:** Compactly supported 
$$H^1$$
-conforming basis

$$\Psi_{n,1}^{\pm} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \pm \frac{\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \right) \quad n \text{ odd}$$





**Goal:** Compactly supported 
$$H^1$$
-conforming basis

$$\Psi_{n,1}^{\pm} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \pm \frac{\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \right) \quad n \text{ odd}$$







**Goal**: Compactly supported 
$$H^1$$
-conforming basis

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{n,1}^{\pm} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \pm \frac{\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \right) \quad n \text{ odd} \\ \Psi_{n,2}^{\pm} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})}{\|\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})\|_{\partial K}} \pm \frac{\Pi_{10} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})}{\|\Pi_{10} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})\|_{\partial K}} \right) \quad n \text{ odd} \end{split}$$





**Goal**: Compactly supported 
$$H^1$$
-conforming basis

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{n,1}^{\pm} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \pm \frac{\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \right) \quad n \text{ odd} \\ \Psi_{n,2}^{\pm} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})}{\|\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})\|_{\partial K}} \pm \frac{\Pi_{10} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})}{\|\Pi_{10} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})\|_{\partial K}} \right) \quad n \text{ odd} \end{split}$$





$$\begin{split} \Psi_{n,1}^{\pm} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \pm \frac{\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \right) \quad n \text{ odd} \\ \Psi_{n,2}^{\pm} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})}{\|\Pi_{00} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})\|_{\partial K}} \pm \frac{\Pi_{10} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})}{\|\Pi_{10} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})\|_{\partial K}} \right) \quad n \text{ odd} \\ \Psi_{n,1}^{\pm} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Pi_{10} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{10} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \pm \frac{\Pi_{11} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})}{\|\Pi_{11} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,1})\|_{\partial K}} \right) \quad n \text{ even} \\ \Psi_{n,2}^{\pm} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})}{\|\Pi_{01} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})\|_{\partial K}} \pm \frac{\Pi_{11} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})}{\|\Pi_{11} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{n,2})\|_{\partial K}} \right) \quad n \text{ even} \end{split}$$

**Goal**: Compactly supported  $H^1$ -conforming basis



 > On 2 opposite sides: Ψ<sup>±</sup><sub>n,i</sub>|<sub>∂K</sub> = Δ-Dirichlet eigenfunctions

> On the other 2 sides: Ψ<sup>±</sup><sub>n,i</sub>|<sub>∂K</sub> = 0
 ⇒ {Ψ<sup>±</sup><sub>n,i</sub>|<sub>∂K</sub>}<sub>n,i</sub> is a Hilbert basis for L<sup>2</sup>(∂K)



# Example

▶ Plots of  $\Psi_{n,1}^-$  with  $L_1 = L_2 = 1$  and  $\kappa = 16$ 

Propagative Wave (n=2) Evanescent Wave (n=10)



 $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{n,1} = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{n\pi}{\kappa L_1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$   $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{n,1} = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{n\pi}{\kappa L_1}\right) \in i\mathbb{R}$ 

κ diam(K) → 0: {Ψ<sup>±</sup><sub>n,i</sub>}<sub>n,i</sub> contains only evanescent waves
κ diam(K) → +∞: {Ψ<sup>±</sup><sub>n,i</sub>}<sub>n,i</sub> contains more propagative waves

#### A single-mesh method

# A single-mesh method

• Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain discretized by a mesh  $\mathcal{T}_h := \{K\}$  composed of rectangular cells. Moreover, assume that

$$\kappa^2 \not\in \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sigma_K(-\Delta)$$

This is not restrictive up to a (local) resizing of the mesh cells



# A single-mesh method

- Glue two functions along the non-zero interface to ensure  $C^0$ -continuity
- ▶ The glued function has compact support, solves Helmholtz in each cell
- ▶ The Trefftz space generated by these functions is conforming
- ▶ We can rely on the Galerkin projection onto the conforming Trefftz space to approximate any Helmholtz BVP



# Example – PPW approximation

Consider  $\Omega = [0, 1]^2$  and  $\kappa = 32$ . We want to approximate the PPW

$$\phi_{\theta} : \mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \theta = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \text{Mesh} =$$

We take N = 32, and a 12-edge mesh  $\rightarrow$ #DOFs=  $32 \times 12 = 384$ 

# Example – PPW approximation

Consider  $\Omega = [0, 1]^2$  and  $\kappa = 32$ . We want to approximate the PPW

 $\phi_{\theta} : \mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \theta = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \text{Mesh} = \mathbf{M}$ 

We take N = 32, and a 12-edge mesh  $\rightarrow$ #DOFs=  $32 \times 12 = 384$ 



The approximation is poor: all functions in the discrete Trefftz space vanish at the mesh nodes. For a fixed mesh size h > 0 and any  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,

$$\inf_{v_{N,h}} \|\phi_{\theta} - v_{N,h}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \gtrsim N^{-1/2}, \qquad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

#### An interlaced-mesh method

# An interlaced-mesh method

▶ We take a shifted second grid to patch the nodes of the first mesh



- ▶ The new interlaced-mesh Trefftz space remains conforming
- ▶ Its functions solve the Helmholtz equation in each cell intersection
- Let us try to approximate the propagative plane wave  $\phi_{\pi/4}$ , using the Galerkin projection onto this new Trefftz space

# Example – PPW approximation

Consider again the previous test, namely the approximation of

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

For N = 16, a 4-edge mesh + a 12-edge shifted mesh  $\rightarrow$ #DOFs= 256



The approximation is really good !

# Example – PPW approximation

Consider again the previous test, namely the approximation of

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}(\theta) \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

Given a 4-edge mesh + a 12-edge shifted mesh (wavenumber  $\kappa = 32$ )



The convergence seems spectral !

# A first error estimate



Consider any vertical/horizontal segment
Γ that cuts through the domain Ω

For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\boldsymbol{u} \in H^m(\Gamma)$ , there exists  $C_{m,h} > 0$  such that  $\inf_{\boldsymbol{v}_{N,h}} \|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}_{N,h}\|_{H^1(\Gamma)} \leq C_{m,h} N^{3/2-m} \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{H^m(\Gamma)}$












► The trace Trefftz space contains functions vanishing on  $\Gamma$  except on one cell restriction, where they match the  $\Delta$ -Dirichlet eigenfunctions

In particular:

- ▶ EPWs needed for *p*-convergence  $\rightarrow$  only PPWs gives #modes  $< +\infty$
- ▶ EPWs needed for *h*-convergence  $\rightarrow$  no PPW-conforming Trefftz as  $h \rightarrow 0$

### More numerical experiments

- If Ω is a general Lipshitz domain, consider the space spanned by basis functions on a mesh of rectangular cells covering Ω
- ► For polygonal domain  $\Omega$ , the basis  $\Psi_{n,i}^{\pm}$  (EPW combinations) enable exact matrix assembly via closed-form integration

Approximation of the 2D fundamental solution with  $\kappa = 30$ , namely

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(\kappa |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}|), \qquad \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$$

For N=32, a 4-edge mesh + a 12-edge shifted mesh  $\rightarrow$  #DOFs  $=\!512$ 



#### More numerical experiments

- If Ω is a general Lipshitz domain, consider the space spanned by basis functions on a mesh of rectangular cells covering Ω
- For polygonal domain  $\Omega$ , the basis  $\Psi_{n,i}^{\pm}$  (EPW combinations) enable exact matrix assembly via closed-form integration

Approximation of the 2D fundamental solution with  $\kappa = 30$ , namely

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(\kappa |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}|), \qquad \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$$

For N=32, a 4-edge mesh + a 12-edge shifted mesh  $\rightarrow \# {\rm DOFs}\,{=}\,512$ 



### Conclusions

# Summary

Ill-conditioning can be overcome (via regularization) if there exist accurate and stable approximations (bounded coefficients)

$$u = \int v e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$$

- ▶ PPW:  $v \mapsto u$  has dense image but is compact
- ▶ EPW:  $u \mapsto v$  is bounded (for the disk/ball)

$$u \approx \sum \xi_n e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}_n \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$
 PPW: numerical instability

▶ EPW: much better approximation results

We developed a Trefftz scheme that numerically exhibits **spectral accuracy**, preserves the **conformity** of classical FEM methods, and ensures **stability** in high-resolution Trefftz spaces using EPWs

# Summary

Ill-conditioning can be overcome (via regularization) if there exist accurate and stable approximations (bounded coefficients)

$$u = \int v e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$$

- ▶ PPW:  $v \mapsto u$  has dense image but is compact
- ▶ EPW:  $u \mapsto v$  is bounded (for the disk/ball)

$$u \approx \sum \xi_n e^{i\kappa \mathbf{d}_n \cdot \mathbf{x}} \qquad \triangleright \text{ PPW: numerical instability} \\ \triangleright \text{ EPW: much better approxim}$$

▶ EPW: much better approximation results

We developed a Trefftz scheme that numerically exhibits **spectral accuracy**, preserves the **conformity** of classical FEM methods, and ensures **stability** in high-resolution Trefftz spaces using EPWs

#### Next steps:

- ► Extend the bounded invertibility of  $T_{\rm E} : L^2_{w^2_{\Omega}}(Y) \to H^1(\Omega)$  from the disk/ball to a broader class of domains (WIP for convex domains)
- ▶ Derive error estimates in 2D & 3D for the EPW-Trefftz scheme

#### References:

 E. Parolin, D. Huybrechs and A. Moiola Stable approximation in the disk of Helmholtz solutions using evanescent plane waves

ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 57.6 (2023)

 N. Galante, A. Moiola and E. Parolin Stable approximation in the ball of Helmholtz solutions using evanescent plane waves arXiv:2401.04016

## Thank you for your attention!